Cá	se 22-02384-LT11	Filed 11/18/22	Entered		Doc 206 Pg. 1 of cket #0206 Date Filed: 11/18/202		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	RANDY S. GROS United States Atto LESLIE M. GARI CHRISTINE M. F Assistant U.S. Att Office of the U.S. 880 Front Street, I San Diego, CA 92 Telephone: (619) Email: Leslie.gard Email: christine.fi Attorneys for Crea U.S. Department of	orney DNER, Cal. Bar FITZGERALD, Forneys Attorney Room 6293 2101-8893 546-7603 Iner@usdoj.gov tzgerald@usdoj ditor of Health and Hu	.gov uman Ser				
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
0	In Re: BORREGO HEALTH FOUNI	O COMMUNITY		Case No. 3:22-bk Chapter 11			
2	Debtor and Debtor	r-in-Possession.		DEPARTMENT HUMAN SERV	OF HEALTH AND ICES OPPOSITION NOTICE OF MOTION		
3				<b>AND MOTION</b>	FOR ENTRY OF (I) APPROVING FORM		
5				AGREEMENT; AUCTION SAL	(2) APPROVING E FORMAT AND		
16					ROCESS FOR RY SELECTION OF		
8				BID PROTECT: APPROVING F	ORM OF NOTICE TO		
19 20				PARTIES; (5) S COURT HEARI	ING TO CONSIDER		
21				HIGHEST AND	THE SALE TO THE BEST BIDDER; AND		
22					G PROCEDURES THE ASSUMPTION EXECUTORY		
23				LEASES; AND			
24				PROPERTY FR	G THE SALE OF EE AND CLEAR OF		
25 26				ALL CLAIMS, ENCUMBRANC			
27				Date: November : Time: 2:00 p.m. Place: Dept 3. Ro	28, 2022 om 129		
28							

1 **TABLE OF CONTENTS** 2 INTRODUCTION......2 I. 3 II. 4 III. 5 A. 6 Federal Grants ......4 В. 7 C. 8 D. 9 IV. LEGAL ARGUMENT ......8 10 Debtor May Only Sell Property of the Estate ......8 Α. 11 Medicare Provider Agreements ......8 B. 12 "Free and Clear" Sale Contravenes the Medicare statute at 42 U.S.C. § 1395g(a) ......8 1. 13 Debtor Cannot Satisfy Any of the Criteria of 11 U.S.C. § 363(f) with Respect to its Medicare Provider Agreements ......9 14 2. 15 i. 16 Debtor cannot satisfy any of the five criteria in 17 11. 18 Medicare Provider Agreements are Executory Contracts that Must Be Assumed and Assigned Pursuant to 11 3. 19 U.S.C. § 365......12 20 C. 21 1. HRSA Undisbursed Funds Are Not Property of the Estate..... 14 22 Property Purchased with HRSA Funds Is Not Property of 2. 23 24 Any Successor in Interest of the Debtor Must Be Approved by HRSA......15 3. 25 D. 26 E. 27 V. 28

#### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES** 1 2 Cases 3 Brown v. General Motors Crop., 4 Buchanan v. Alexander, 5 Chicago Title Ins. Co. v. Seko Inv. (In re Seko Inv., Inc.) 6 156 F.3d 1005 (9th Cir. 1997)......10 7 Erickson v. U.S. ex rel. Dept. of Health and Human Services, 8 In re Airlift Int'l, Inc., 9 In re Berks Behavioral Health, LLC, 10 11 In re Heffernan Mem'l Hosp. Dist., 12 In re Joliet-Will Cnty. Cmty. Action Agency, 13 *In re Lawrence United Corp.*, 14 15 *In re S. Inst. for Treatment and Evaluation*, 217 B.R. 962 (Bankr. S.D. Fla.). ......9 16 In re Tri County Home Health Services, Inc., 17 230 B.R. 106 (Bankr. W.D. Tenn. 1999) ......9 In re Univ. Med. Ctr., 18 973 F.2d 1065 (3d Cir.1992)......12 19 Matter of Superior Toy & Mfg. Co., Inc., 20 Md. Dept. of Human Res. v. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, 21 22 U.S. v. Vernon Home Health, Inc., 23 United States v. Consumer Health Services of America, Inc., 24 108 F.3d 390 (D.C. Cir. 1997) ......9 Warnick v. Yassian (In re Rodeo Canon Dev. Corp.), 25 362 F.3d 603 (9th Cir. 2004)...... 26 /// 27 28

# Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 11/18/22 Entered 11/18/22 22:45:10 Doc 206 Pg. 4 of 20

1	Statutes
2	11 U.S.C. § 363
3	11 U.S.C. § 365
4	42 U.S.C. §§ 1395 et seq
5	Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act ("CARES Act"), Pub. L. 116-136, Title VIII, 134 Stat. 281, 563
6	Other Authorities
7	Lawrence P. King, Collier on Bankruptcy ¶ 363.06[5] (15th ed. 1998)11
8	Regulations
9	42 C.F.R. § 413.24
0	45 C.F.R. § 75.318
1	75 C.1 .R. § 75.520
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	i

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services ("HSS") hereby submits its Opposition to Debtor's Notice Of Motion And Motion For Entry Of (I) An Order (1) Approving Form Of Asset Purchase Agreement; (2) Approving Auction Sale Format And Bidding Procedures; (3) Approving Process For Discretionary Selection Of Stalking Horse Bidder And Bid Protections; (4) Approving Form Of Notice To Be Provided To Interested Parties; (5) Scheduling A Court Hearing To Consider Approval Of The Sale To The Highest And Best Bidder; And (6) Approving Procedures Related To The Assumption Of Certain Executory Contracts And Unexpired Leases; And (II) An Order Authorizing The Sale Of Property Free And Clear Of All Claims, Liens And Encumbrances, Dk. 161, ("Motion") filed by Borrego Community Health Foundation, Chapter 11 Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession ("Debtor").

#### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

HHS does not object to the Debtor selling its assets. However, it is unclear whether Debtor's Motion is improperly attempting to sell assets that actually belong to the federal government, not the bankruptcy estate. To the extent Debtor is requesting authority to sell property that belongs to the federal government, HSS objects and requests that the Court set a briefing schedule and evidentiary hearing to determine whether such assets are property of the Debtor's bankruptcy estate.

For reasons set forth below, HHS opposes Debtor's Motion and requests that the Court deny Debtor's Motion or, alternatively, continue the hearing on the Motion and set a briefing schedule and evidentiary hearing on whether the assets the Debtor is attempting to sell are property of the bankruptcy estate.

### II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On September 12, 2022, Debtor filed a petition for relief under Title 11 of the United States Code ("Bankruptcy Code"). Dk. 1. Debtor filed its Schedules and Statement of Financial Affairs on October 17, 2022. Dk. 97. Debtor's initial 341(a) meeting of creditors took place on October 18, 2022 and was continued to November

8, 2022<sup>1</sup>. Debtor filed the instant Motion on November 10, 2022. Dk. 161.

#### III. FEDERAL FUNDS PROVIDED TO DEBTOR

As reflected in Debtor's schedules, statement of financial affairs, and below, a portion of its income is from federal funding. Specifically, Debtor's Statement of Financial Affairs<sup>2</sup> reflects the following:

<u>Time</u>	<b>Business Income</b>	Non-Business Revenue <sup>3</sup>
7/1/2022 to 9/12/2022	\$21,672,458.17	\$4,308,799.97
7/1/2021 to 6/30/2022	\$129,498,962.36	\$29,418,936.41
7/1/2020 to 6/30/2021	\$214,619,548.00	\$21,204,028.00

#### A. Medicare Provider Agreements

In 1965, Congress enacted The Health Insurance Program for the Aged and Disabled through Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1395 et seq., ("Medicare"). Medicare is a federal health care program providing benefits to persons who are over the age of 65 and some under that age who are blind or disabled. Medicare is administered by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS"), a federal agency under HHS. Individuals who receive benefits under Medicare are referred to as Medicare "beneficiaries." Medicare enters into agreements with providers and suppliers to establish their eligibility to participate in the Medicare Program. Providers and suppliers complete a Medicare Enrollment Application (often called a Form CMS-855) whereby the providers and suppliers must certify compliance with certain federal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> At the continued 341(a) Meeting of Creditors on November 8, 2022, HHS also asked Debtor about a potential sale. Debtor responded that it was "considering all options" and that it was unable to provide any additional information, despite having created its "data room" for potential purchasers 11 days earlier, and then filing the instant motion only 2 days later.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Dk. 98, Statement of Financial Affairs, ¶ 2, page 15 of 97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Grant and Misc. Revenue.

requirements.

Debtor holds 41 medicare provider numbers, 24 active and 17 deactivated. CMS administers the funds Debtor receives under its Medicare Provider Agreements. CMS utilizes National Governmental Services ("NGS") and Nordian Healthcare Solutions ("Nordian") to assist it in administering Debtor's Medicare Provider Agreements.

Under the Medicare Provider Agreements, Debtor must submit cost reports on an annual basis for each cost report year. Cost reports are due by the last day of the fifth month following the close of Debtor's cost report year. 42 C.F.R. § 413.24. CMS reviews Debtor's cost report submission in order to make any necessary adjustments as required by section 1395g(a) of the Medicare statute. However Debtor has failed to submit long overdue cost reports that HHS estimates collectively reflect upwards of \$1,500,000.

#### **B.** Federal Grants

HHS's Health Resources & Services Administration ("HRSA") programs provide equitable health care to people who are geographically isolated and economically or medically vulnerable. Section 330 of the Public Health Service ("PHS") Act authorizes the Health Center Program. 42 U.S.C. § 254b. To be eligible as a grantee under section 330 of the PHS Act, an organization, among other requirements, must be a non-profit organization, must have a patient-majority governing board, and must institute a sliding fee scale. Other grant funding requirements applicable to Health Center Program grantees are found at Health Center Program Statute: Section 330 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. §254b); Health Center Program Regulations: 42 CFR 51c and 42 CFR 56.201 – 56.604; and Grant Regulations: Uniform Administrative Requirements for HHS Awards: 45 CFR 75.

25 | | ///

26 | | ///

27 | 1 / / /

HRSA records<sup>4</sup> reflect that Debtor has been awarded the following Health Center Program<sup>5</sup> grants:

Grant Name	<u>Grant</u> <u>Period</u>		<u>Project</u> <u>Period</u>		<u>Cummulative</u> Award Amount
	<u>Start</u>	<b>End</b>	<u>Start</u>	<u>End</u>	1 War a 1 Miloune
Base Health Center	9/1/02	2/28/23	3/1/19	2/28/23	\$4,973,751
Expanding Capacity for Coronavirus Testing	5/1/20	4/30/23	5/1/20	4/30/23	\$4,106,464
American Rescue Plan Funding for Health Centers	4/1/21	3/31/23	4/1/21	3/31/23	\$36,903,375
American Rescue Plan Health Center Construction and Capital Improvements	9/15/21	9/14/24	9/15/21	9/14/24	\$3,439,299
Local Community- Based Workforce to Increase COVID-19 Vaccine Access	7/31/21	7/31/23	7/31/21	7/31/23	\$1,000,000

These grants are on "advance drawdown restriction," which means that before any available funds are transferred to the Debtor's account, the Debtor must submit a request to HRSA detailing the amount needed and how the funds will be used. Only if HRSA approves the request are the funds released to Borrego. Debtor must also provide an annual Federal Financial Report regarding use of HRSA funds.

The federal government retains its interest in any property, equipment or supplies purchased with HRSA funds. 45 C.F.R. §§ 75.318; 75.320.

23 | | ///

<sup>4</sup> See Recipient Information, HHS' Tracking Accountability in Government Grants System (TAGGS), available at

https://taggs.hhs.gov/Detail/RecipDetail?arg\_EntityId=vF5oaoaCcFwi06R%2b7L0iFA%3d%3d.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Requirements applicable to Health Center Program grantees are found at 42 U.S.C. §254b; 42 C.F.R. 51c and 42 C.F.R. 56.201 – 56.604; and 45 C.F.R. 75.

#### C. **Provider Relief Bureau Funds**

Since the Provider Relief Fund ("PRF") was created in April 2020, Debtor received a total of \$17,608,363.54 in PRF distributions and has yet to report on its use of \$6,011,481.10 of these funds. Congress established the PRF in the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act ("CARES Act"), Pub. L. 116-136, Title VIII, 134 Stat. 281, 563 (Mar. 27, 2020), to "reimburse. . . eligible health care providers for health care related expenses or lost revenues . . . attributable to coronavirus." *Id*. Eligible health care providers include "public entities, Medicare or Medicaid enrolled suppliers and providers, and such for-profit entities and not-for-profit entities . . . as the Secretary may specify . . . that provide diagnoses, testing, or care for individuals with possible or actual cases of COVID 19." Id.

PRF recipients must repay PRF distributions if they fail to agree to, or comply with, certain "terms and conditions" ("PRF Terms and Conditions"). PRF recipients agree to the PRF Terms and Conditions by electronically attesting to acceptance thereof. They must do so within 90 days of receiving a distribution. Providers also must report their use of such funds during the applicable "Reporting Time Period," approximately twelve to eighteen months after disbursement. If a PRF recipient does not comply with the PRF Terms and Conditions, the recipient must repay the PRF distributions to

22

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

<sup>20</sup> 21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> HRSA, Current and Future Payments, https://www.hrsa.gov/provider-relief/futurepayments; HRSA, Provider Relief Programs: Provider Relief Fund and ARP Rural Payments Frequently Asked Questions, 3 (Jan. 27, 2022),

<sup>23</sup> https://www.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/hrsa/providerrelief/ provider-relief-fund-faq-24

complete.pdf ("HRSA Guidance"). Guidance documents such as this one do not have the force and effect of law, Perez v. Mortgage Bankers Ass 'n, 575 U.S. 92, 97 (2015),

but can "advise the public of how the agency understands, and is likely to apply, its binding statute. Kisor v. Wilkie, 139 S. Ct. 2400, 2420 (2019) (plurality opinion) (quoting *Perez*, 575 U.S. at 97).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> HRSA Guidance, 39.

HRSA.8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

27

28

PRF distributions are nontransferable. Only the PRF distribution recipient (who properly accepts the PRF Terms and Conditions) is entitled to use the funds. HRSA Guidance expressly prohibits any assignment or transfer of PRF distributions through the sale of a facility, instead mandating that, where a PRF recipient transfers a facility to a new operator, "the original recipient must use the funds for its eligible expenses and lost revenues and return any unused funds to HRSA." Furthermore, if "a bankrupt recipient is liquidated, it must similarly use the funds for its eligible expenses and lost revenues and return any unused funds to [HRSA]. In short, only the PRF recipient may use PRF distributions, and only if it accepts, or is deemed to accept, the PRF Terms & Conditions. Otherwise, it must return them to HRSA.

#### D. Debtor's Schedules

In the "Global Notes" filed with its Schedules, Debtor acknowledges that it may have improperly characterized certain items, for which it received restricted funds, which may not be property of the Debtor's estate. Dk. 97, ¶¶ 8 and 12, pages 9 and 10 of 97.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, Debtor's Schedules appear to list government interests as follows:

- Schedule B: Accounts Receivable ARPA Grant \$23,191,631 (page 18 of 97);
- Schedule B: Medical & Dental Equipment \$1,196,895.10 (page 22 of 97);
- Schedule E/F: "USAO Southern District" Undetermined amount due to

<sup>8</sup> HRSA, Provider Relief Fund General Information,

<sup>24 &</sup>lt;u>https://www.hrsa.gov/providerrelief/</u> faq/general; HRSA, Terms and Conditions, 25 <u>https://www.hrsa.gov/provider-relief/pastpayments/</u> terms-conditions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> HRSA, Terms and Conditions, <a href="https://www.hrsa.gov/provider-relief/past-payments/termsconditions">https://www.hrsa.gov/provider-relief/past-payments/termsconditions</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> HRSA Guidance, 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> HRSA Guidance, 19.

government (page 59 of 97); and

• Schedule G: Department of Health and Human Services Health Resources and Services Administration "Notice of Grant Award Authorization" (page 70 of 97).

# IV. <u>LEGAL ARGUMENT</u>

#### A. Debtor May Only Sell Property of the Estate

HHS opposes the Motion, on the grounds that Debtor is seeking to sell assets, before the Court has determined whether these assets are property of the estate. The Ninth Circuit Bankruptcy Appellate Panel noted that "a bankruptcy court may not allow the sale of property as propety of the estate without first determining whether the debtor in fact owned the property." *Warnick v. Yassian (In re Rodeo Canon Dev. Corp.)*, 362 F.3d 603 (9th Cir. 2004), *opinion withdrawn and superseded*, 126 F. App'x 353 (9th Cir. 2005) (internal quotations omitted). HHS contends that the government, not the bankruptcy estate, owns the HRSA funds, personal property purchased with HRSA funds, and PRF funds. To the extent Debtor is requesting authority to sell these assets, the Court must first determine whether such assets are property of the estate. Thus, HHS requests that the Court set an evidentiary hearing and briefing schedule to determine what interest, if any, Debtor's estate has in the HRSA funds, personal property purchased with HRSA funds, and PRF funds before ruling on the instant Motion.

# **B.** Medicare Provider Agreements

1. "Free and Clear" Sale Contravenes the Medicare statute at 42 U.S.C. § 1395g(a)

To the extent Debtor seeks to sell its Medicare Provider Care Agreements "free and clear" of any liability, doing so would preclude HHS from effectuating Congress' requirement that "necessary adjustments" shall be made to the amount of Medicare payments made to a provider. In essence, Debtor would be asking the Court to ignore a critical element of the payment provision of the Medicare statute. However, there is no "authority for the proposition that the Bankruptcy Code can act to override an explicit

statutory limitation on what the government owes for a particular service." *United States v. Consumer Health Services of America, Inc.*, 108 F.3d 390 at 393 (D.C. Cir. 1997). To the contrary, bankruptcy courts have recognized that section 1395g(a) is fundamental to the Medicare program:

The statute, at 42 U.S.C. § 1395g(a), contemplates and directs that adjustments will be made whenever it is determined that the interim payments previously disbursed were excessive. This is the fundamental payment provision which underlies Medicare reimbursement. There is no evading it or circumventing it, under any authority or at any time.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

In re Tri County Home Health Services, Inc., 230 B.R. 106, 112 (Bankr. W.D. Tenn. 1999). A bankruptcy court "may not interfere with . . . the statutory prescription that

HHS make 'necessary adjustments' to current payment to account for previously

rendered overpayments." In re S. Inst. for Treatment and Evaluation, 217 B.R. 962, 966

(Bankr. S.D. Fla.). HHS's recoupment right is a "statutory entitlement [that] forecloses

any equitable attempt, whether by injunction or otherwise" to bar the recovery of

overpayments. Md. Dept. of Human Res. v. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, 976 F.2d 1462,

16 | 1480-81 (4th Cir. 1992).

2. <u>Debtor Cannot Satisfy Any of the Criteria of 11 U.S.C. § 363(f) with Respect to its Medicare Provider Agreements</u>

Section 363(f) of 11 U.S.C. § 363 authorizes certain sales of property "free and clear of any interest in such property" only if:

(1) applicable non-bankruptcy law permits sale of such property free and clear of such interest;

(2) such entity consents;

such interest is a lien and the price at which property is to be sold is greater than the aggregate value of all liens on such property;

(4) such interest is in bona fide dispute; or

such entity can be compelled, in a legal or equitable proceeding, to accept a money satisfaction of such interest.

11 U.S.C. § 363(f).

27

28 | ///

///

i. Debtor does not have a property interest in Medicare Provider Agreements

As a threshold matter, Debtor does not have a property interest in Medicare Provider Agreements, either as a right to participate in Medicare as a provider or in the "necessary adjustments" required by 42 U.S.C. § 1395g (a).

With respect to any potential property interest in reimbursement as a provider, the Ninth Circuit joined the First and Tenth Circuit Courts of Appeals in holding that a medical provider does not possess a property interest in continued participation in Medicare. *See Erickson v. U.S. ex rel. Dept. of Health and Human Services*, 67 F.3d 858 (9th Cir. 1995).

Likewise, the "necessary adjustment," or recoupment, provided for in section 1395g(a), is not a property interest. Because recoupment must arise from the same transaction, it functions as a defense to payment rather than a mutual obligation or claim under the Bankruptcy Code. *See Brown v. General Motors Crop.*, 152 B.R. 935, 938 (W.D. Wis. 1993). *See also Chicago Title Ins. Co. v. Seko Inv. (In re Seko Inv., Inc.)* 156 F.3d 1005, 1008-9 (9th Cir. 1997). "The right of recoupment is not itself a claim ... and therefore does not even fall under the broadest interpretation of an 'interest' in property." *In re Lawrence United Corp.*, 221 B.R. 661 (N.D.N.Y. 1998).

ii. Debtor cannot satisfy any of the five criteria in section 363(f)

Section 363(f)(1) authorizes the sale of a Debtor's property free and clear of any interest in the property if applicable non-bankruptcy law permits the sale of such property free and clear of such interest. Medicare Provider Agreements may only be transferred pursuant to specific terms set forth at 42 C.F.R. § 489.18(d), which states that "[a]n assigned agreement is subject to all applicable statutes and regulations and to the terms and conditions under which it was originally issued." This includes the statutory requirement in 42 U.S.C. § 1395g(a) that adjustments are made for overpayments. See U.S. v. Vernon Home Health, Inc. 21 F3d 693, 696 (5th Cir. 1994), cert. denied 513 U.S. 1015 (1994). Thus, to the extent Debtor seeks to sell or transfer

any Medicare Provider Agreements "free and clear," it cannot satisfy 11 U.S.C. § 363(f)(1).

11 U.S.C. § 363(f)(2) provides that sale of a Debtor's property may be authorized free and clear of any interest in such property if the party holding such interest consents. HHS objects to the extent Debtor seeks to sell or transfer any Medicare Provider Agreements "free and clear," so Debtor cannot satisfy 11 U.S.C. § 363(f)(2).

Section 363f(3) authorizes the sale of a Debtor's property free and clear of any interest in the property if such interest is a lien and the price at which property is to be sold is greater than the aggregate value of all liens on such property. There are no lien interests applicable here so Debtor cannot satisfy 11 U.S.C. § 363(f)(3).

Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(f)(4), the sale of a Debtor's property may be authorized free and clear of any interest in such property if such interest is in bona fide dispute. This requires the Debtor to show that "there is an objective basis for either a factual or legal dispute as to the validity of the debt." *See* Lawrence P. King, Collier on Bankruptcy ¶ 363.06[5] (15th ed. 1998). Thus, whether a dispute is bona fide does not turn on the amount of the debt, but on the validity of the underlying liability. As described above, there is no dispute that Debtor lacks a protectable property interest in Medicare Provider Agreements, nor is there such a dispute about the "necessary adjustments" directive as a component of the Medicare statute. Debtor cannot satisfy the fourth criteria.

Finally, section 363(f)(5) authorizes the sale of a Debtor's property free and clear of any interest in the property if such entity can be compelled, in a legal or equitable proceeding, to accept a money satisfaction of such interest. No legal or equitable proceeding can compel HHS to accept money to disregard or abrogate the statute directing its provision of Medicare. *See e.g., Md. Dept. of Human Res.*, 976 F.2d at 1480 ("An injunction may not strip a federal agency of its power to exercise lawful authority conferred by Congress through statute.").

Therefore, to the extent Debtor seeks to sell its Medicare Provider Agreements

free and clear of any liability under 11 U.S.C. 363(f), it cannot do so.

# 3. Medicare Provider Agreements are Executory Contracts that Must Be Assumed and Assigned Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 365

To the extent Debtor seeks to sell its Medicare Provider Agreements as executory contracts, it must comply with the terms of 11 U.S.C. § 365. Under a Medicare Provider Agreement, the expected ongoing delivery of healthcare services is due to Medicare beneficiaries. The continued reimbursement of the cost to provide those services, subject to any necessary adjustments required by 42 U.S.C. § 1395g(a), is due from HHS.

"A Medicare provider agreement is an executory contract. The Bankruptcy Code does not define "executory contract," but courts have generally defined such a contract as one under which performance is due to some extent on both sides." *In re Heffernan Mem'l Hosp. Dist.*, 192 B.R. 228, 231 n.4 (S.D. Cal. 1996) (citing *NLRB v. Bildisco & Bildisco*, 465 U.S. 513, 522 n. 6 (1984). *See also, In re Univ. Med. Ctr.*, 973 F.2d 1065, 1075 (3d Cir.1992) ("A Medicare provider agreement easily fits within th[e] definition [of executory contract]"); *In re Berks Behavioral Health, LLC*, 2010 WL 4922173, 7 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 2010) ("[A] Medicare Provider Agreement shall not be considered an 'asset' that may be sold pursuant to section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code and shall be treated as an executory contract subject to the Assumption and Assignment Procedures").

The Bankruptcy Code permits the assignment of an executory contract only if the Debtor-in-possession assumes the contract and provides adequate assurance of future performance by the assignee of the contract. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 365(f)(2).

The proper assumption of an executory contract requires the Debtor to "cure[], or provide[] adequate assurance that [it] will promptly cure" its liabilities. 11 U.S.C. § 365(b)(1)(A). Otherwise stated, assumption of an executory contract entails "liab[ility] for the performance of the entire contract." *In re Airlift Int'l, Inc.*, 761 F.2d 1503, 1508 (11th Cir. 1985). Debtor has failed to submit overdue cost reports that HHS estimates

collectively reflect upwards of \$1,500,000. Prior to any assumption, much less assignment, Debtor must submit its cost reports so that CMS can determine any necessary adjustments.

The language of § 365(b)(1) is unequivocal. A party to an executor contract must be paid all amounts due him under the contract before the contract may be assumed.

Matter of Superior Toy & Mfg. Co., Inc., 78 F.3d 1169, 1174 (7th Cir. 1996).

To the extent Debtor is trying to sell the Medicare Provider Agreements as an executory contract, the Motion provides that a counter party to an Assumed Executory Contract must serve an objection to the assumption and assignment of such contract within 20 days after service of Debtor's Cure Notice. *See* Motion p. 14, line 22 to p. 15, line 13, ¶ 38. However, as Debtor has not filed its cost reports following the close of Debtor's last cost-report year, HHS is unable to determine with any degree of certainty what outstanding amounts, if any, are owed under the Medicare Provider Agreements. 12

#### C. HRSA Grant Funds

As set forth above, it is unclear whether the Debtor is attempting to "sell" either the outstanding amounts remaining on the federal grants or the tangible property purchased with federal grant money, neither of which are property of the estate. Debtor's Schedules list U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Health Resources and Services Administration "Notice of Grant Award Authorization" on Schedule G as an executory contract. *See* Dk. 97, Schedule G, page 70 of 97. Debtor's Schedules also list "Accounts Receivable ARPA Grant \$23,191,631" and "Medical & Dental Equipment \$1,196,895.10" on Schedule B as assets. *See* Dk. 97, Schedule B, page 18 and 22 of 97. However, it is unclear whether Debtor has improperly listed the HRSA funds as executory contracts on Schedule G, and HRSA funds and tangible property purchased with HRSA funds as assets on Schedule B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Even if Debtor were to submit the missing reports now, the CMS review process takes approximately 2-3 months.

### 1. HRSA Undisbursed Funds Are Not Property of the Estate

HHS opposes the Motion to the extent Debtor is attempting to sell the HRSA funds that have not yet been disbursed on the federal grants. The Motion appears to request authority to sell "any or all tangible and intangible real and personal property assets of the Debtor as defined and set forth in the Draft APA." Dk. 161, Motion, Exhibit 1, ¶ 2, page 40 of 49. HHS has not seen a copy of the Draft APA but is concerned as Debtor's schedules appear to list the undisbursed HRSA funds as both accounts receivable on Schedule B and executory contracts on Schedule G.

The federal government owns the HRSA funds that have not yet been distributed. "[F]unds of the government are specifically appropriated to certain national objects, and if such appropriations may be diverted and defeated by state process or otherwise, the functions of the government may be suspended. So long as money remains in the hands of a disbursing officer, it is as much the money of the United States, as if it had not been drawn from the treasury. Until paid over by the agent of the government to the person entitled to it, the fund cannot, in any legal sense, be considered a part of his effects." *Buchanan v. Alexander*, 45 U.S. 20, 20–21, 11 L. Ed. 857 (1846).

Here, the undisbursed HRSA funds are not property of the estate and may not be sold as either accounts receivable or executory contracts.

# 2. <u>Property Purchased with HRSA Funds Is Not Property of the Estate</u>

HHS opposes the Motion to the extent Debtor is attempting to sell the personal property purchased with HRSA funds. Debtor lists Medical & Dental Equipment with a value of \$1,196,895.10 as an asset on Schedule B. Dk. 97, Schedule B, page 22 of 97. However, Debtor submitted a draw down request for February 2022 which included \$503,381 for dental equipment. It is unclear whether the medical and dental equipment listed on Schedule B includes the dental equipment purchased with HRSA funds. 13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The dental equipment alone does not constitute the extent of property purchased using grant funds. Rather, it is a salient example from the Debtor's schedule.

The federal government has an interest in the personal property purchased with HRSA funds. *See In re Joliet-Will Cnty. Cmty. Action Agency*, 847 F.2d 430, 433 (7th Cir. 1988). In *Joliet*, the debtor, who was exclusively financed through federal and state grants, filed a petition for bankruptcy under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code<sup>14</sup>. *Id.* at 431. The Chapter 7 trustee attempted to distribute the assets of the debtor to creditors pro rata. *Id.* The Seventh Circuit held that the debtor's assets did not belong to the debtor's bankruptcy estate and ordered the trustee to abandon the debtor's assets. *Id.* at 435. The court reasoned that there was "no evidence that the authors of the Bankruptcy Code intended to deprive the federal government of property rights that it would enjoy against an unsecured creditor, merely because the creditor is represented by a trustee in bankruptcy." *Id.* at 433.

Like the undisbursed HRSA funds, personal property purchased with HRSA funds is not property of the estate and may not be sold.

# 3. Any Successor in Interest of the Debtor Must Be Approved by HRSA

The Motion incorrectly states that HRSA approves or determines whether an entity is a Federally Qualified Health Center<sup>15</sup>. Dk. 161, Motion p. 2, lines 10-16. Instead, HRSA conducts a "Successor-in-Interest" or "SII" evaluation to determine whether a successor entity is eligible to receive the Health Center Program grant funding that was awarded to the original health center and be recognized as a new Health Center Program recipient<sup>16</sup>. If HRSA approves the SII, the rights to <u>and obligations</u> under a Health Center Program award will be acquired by the new entity<sup>17</sup>. Thus, the HRSA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Title 11 of the United States Code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> HRSA does not determine whether an entity is a Federally Qualified Health Center.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Please see <a href="https://bphc.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/bphc/compliance/hc-rg-changes-sii-requests.pdf">https://bphc.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/bphc/compliance/hc-rg-changes-sii-requests.pdf</a> for additional information regarding the procedures for a health center to request HRSA approval of a successor-in-interest arrangement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> To the extent Debtor is contemplating sale of its business and assets to more than one bidder, HHS objects as it would be impermissible to divide the grants piecemeal. The debtors Health Center Program grant funds were allotted based on the service

grant funds may not be "sold" or transferred free and clear of any liens and other interests as the Motion suggests.

Importantly, the Motion does not present a contingeny for the winning bidder should HRSA not approve the SII request.

#### D. Provider Relief Funds

It is also unclear whether Debtor is attempting to sell any PRF funds. HHS opposes the Motion to the extent Debtor seeks authority to sell the PRF funds. Debtor received \$17,068,363.54 in PRF funds, but has not yet reported on \$6,011,481.10 of those funds. Further, Debtor may still be audited for all PRF funds received. Under PRF Terms and Conditions, PRF pyments that were made incorrectly, or exceed either lost revenues or expenses incurred due to the coronavirus, or do not otherwise meet applicable legal and program requirements, must be returned to HHS. Moreover, noncompliance with the PRF Terms or Conditions is grounds for the Secretary to recoup some or all of the payments or take other actions pursuant to 45 C.F.R. § 371.<sup>18</sup>

Additionally, to the extent there are any unused PRF funds, these monies must be returned to HHS and may not be transferred to a prospective buyer. PRF money does not transfer to a buyer, however, a buyer of the Debtor's assets may be eligible to apply for future PRF payments, which would also be subject to HRSA approval.

Thus, HHS opposes the Motion to the extent it is attempting to sell or transfer any PRF funds received by the Debtor.

#### E. No waiver under FRBP 6004

Debtor's Motion seeks to waive the 14-day stay of the order on its Motion that is provided for in Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h). HHS opposes Debtor's request to waive the

sites proposed at the time of the Service Area Competition and would only be transferred through the SII process intact to a sole approved successor entity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See, e.g., Phase 4 General Distribution Relief Fund Terms and Conditions, available at https://www.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/hrsa/provider-relief/terms-conditions-phase-4-general-distribution-relief-fund.pdf.

14-day stay. As demonstrated above, Debtor's Motion leaves many questions unanswered, and an adverse order would require significant time to review and consider whether appeal is appropriate.

# V. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

Based on the foregoing, HHS requests that the Court deny Debtor's Motion or, in the alternative, continue the hearing on Debtor's Motion and set an evidentiary hearing and briefing schedule to determine what assets belong to Debtor's estate.

9 Dated: November 18, 2022 Respectfully Submitted,

RANDY S. GROSSMAN United States Attorney

s/Christine M. Fitzgerald
LESLIE M. GARDNER
CHRISTINE M. FITZGERALD
Attorneys for Creditor
U.S. Department
of Health and Human Services