

JAMES L. DAY (WSBA #20474)  
BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
601 Union Street, Suite 5000  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Tel: (206) 521-3858  
Email: [jday@bskd.com](mailto:jday@bskd.com)

MARK D. NORTHRUP  
(WSBA #16947)  
MILLER NASH GRAHAM &  
DUNN LLP  
2801 Alaskan Way, Suite 300  
Seattle, Washington 98121-  
1128  
Tel: (206) 624-8300  
Email:  
[mark.northrup@millernash.com](mailto:mark.northrup@millernash.com)

HONORABLE WHITMAN L.  
HOLT

SAMUEL R. MAIZEL (Admitted  
Pro Hac Vice)  
DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite  
2500  
Los Angeles, California 90017-5704  
Tel: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924  
Email:  
[samuel.maizel@dentons.com](mailto:samuel.maizel@dentons.com)

WILLIAM KANNEL  
(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)  
IAN A. HAMMEL (Admitted  
Pro Hac Vice)  
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN,  
FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND  
POPEO, P.C.  
One Financial Center  
Boston, Massachusetts 02111  
Tel: (617) 542-6000  
Email: [wkannel@mintz.com](mailto:wkannel@mintz.com)  
Email: [iahammel@mintz.com](mailto:iahammel@mintz.com)  
Email: [tmckeon@mintz.com](mailto:tmckeon@mintz.com)

SAM J. ALBERTS (WSBA  
#22255)  
DENTONS US LLP  
1900 K. Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
Tel: (202) 496-7500  
Fax: (202) 496-7756  
Email: [sam.alberts@dentons.com](mailto:sam.alberts@dentons.com)

Attorneys for the Lapis Parties

Attorneys for the Chapter 11  
Debtors and Debtors In Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

In re:

ASTRIA HEALTH, *et al.*,

Debtors and Debtors in  
Possession.<sup>1</sup>

Chapter 11  
Lead Case No. 19-01189-11  
Jointly Administered

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN  
SUPPORT OF CONFIRMATION OF  
SECOND AMENDED JOINT  
CHAPTER 11 PLAN AND RESPONSE  
TO OBJECTIONS**

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors, along with their case numbers, are as follows: Astria Health (19-01189-11), Glacier Canyon, LLC (19-01193-11), Kitchen and Bath Furnishings, LLC (19-01194-11), Oxbow Summit, LLC (19-01195-11), SHC Holdco, LLC (19-01196-11), SHC Medical Center - Toppenish (19-01190-11), SHC Medical Center - Yakima (19-01192-11), Sunnyside Community Hospital Association (19-01191-11), Sunnyside Community Hospital Home Medical Supply, LLC (19-01197-11), Sunnyside Home Health (19-01198-11), Sunnyside Professional Services, LLC (19-01199-11), Yakima Home Care Holdings, LLC (19-01201-11), and Yakima HMA Home Health, LLC (19-01200-11).



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

**[RELATED DOCKET NOS.: 1986,  
1987, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2077,  
2079]**  
**HEARING:**  
**Date/Time: December 18, 2020 /**  
**10:00 am (Pacific)**  
**Location: Telephonic Hearing**  
**Telephone Conference: (877) 402-9757**  
**Access Code: 7036041**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

I. INTRODUCTION..... 4

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND ..... 7

    A. General Background ..... 7

    B. Plan Overview ..... 9

    C. The Disclosure Statement and Solicitation..... 12

    D. Vote Tabulation..... 13

III. JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND STATUTORY PREDICATES ..... 15

IV. THE PLAN SATISFIES EACH REQUIREMENT FOR CONFIRMATION ..... 15

    A. The Plan Complies With the Applicable Provisions of the Bankruptcy Code (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(1))..... 16

        1. The Plan Satisfies the Classification Requirements of § 1122.. 17

        2. The Plan Satisfies the Seven Mandatory Plan Requirements of §§ 1123(a)(1)-(a)(7)..... 19

    B. The Plan Complies With the Applicable Provisions of the Bankruptcy Code (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(2))..... 22

        1. The Plan Proponents Are Authorized to File the Joint Plan Under § 1121. .... 23

        2. The Plan Proponents Complied with the Disclosure Statement and Solicitation Requirements of § 1125. .... 23

        3. The Debtors Complied With the Plan Acceptance Requirements of § 1126. .... 25

    C. The Plan Has Been Proposed in Good Faith and Not by Any Means Forbidden by Law (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(3))..... 27

    D. The Plan Provides for Bankruptcy Court Approval of Certain Administrative Payments (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(4)). .... 29

    E. Post-Effective Date Directors and Officers Are Disclosed and Their Appointment Is Consistent with Public Policy (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(5)). .... 31

    F. The Plan Does Not Require Governmental Regulatory Approval of Rate Changes (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(6)). .... 32

1 G. The Plan Is in the Best Interests of Creditors and Interest  
 Holders (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(7)). ..... 32

2 H. The Plan Complies With Statutorily Mandated Payment of  
 Priority Claims (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9)). ..... 36

3 I. Each Impaired Class of Claims That Returned a Ballot Has  
 Accepted the Plan, Excluding the Acceptances of Insiders (11  
 4 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(10)). ..... 38

5 J. The Plan Is Feasible (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(11)). ..... 39

6 K. The Plan Provides for the Payment of All Fees under 28 U.S.C.  
 § 1930 (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(12)). ..... 41

7 L. The Plan Requirement for Payment of Retiree Benefits (11  
 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(13)) Is Not Implicated. .... 41

8 M. Sections 1129(a)(14) and 1129(a)(15) Do Not Apply to the Plan..... 42

9 N. The Plan Provides That Any Transfer of Property Will Be in  
 Compliance With Applicable Non-Bankruptcy Law, Subject to  
 10 Bankruptcy Court Oversight (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(16)). ..... 42

11 O. The Plan Provides Fair and Equitable Treatment of the Non-  
 Accepting Class 4A (11 U.S.C. § 1129(b)). ..... 43

12 P. The Principal Purpose of the Plan Is Not Avoidance of Taxes  
 (11 U.S.C. § 1129(d))..... 44

13 V. THE DISCRETIONARY CONTENTS OF THE PLAN SHOULD BE  
 APPROVED ..... 44

14 A. The Assumption or Rejection of the Executory Contracts and  
 Unexpired Leases Under the Plan Should Be Approved..... 45

15 B. The Plan’s Release, Injunction and Exculpation Provisions Are  
 16 Appropriate and Should Be Approved..... 46

17 1. The Debtors’ Releases. .... 47

18 2. Third Party Releases. .... 52

3. The Injunctions. .... 57

4. The Exculpation..... 57

19 VI. THE DEEMED CONSOLIDATION OF THE DEBTORS SHOULD  
 BE APPROVED ..... 61

20 VII. THE OBJECTIONS SHOULD BE OVERRULED ..... 62

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
 OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
 Phone: (213) 623-9300  
 Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
 LAW OFFICES  
 601 Union St., Suite 5000  
 Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
 Telephone (206) 292-2110  
 Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

A. The Premier Objection Has Been Resolved. .... 62

B. The Cerner Objection Is Without Merit..... 62

C. The United States Trustee’s Objections Have Been Addressed  
and Otherwise Should Be Overruled. .... 69

    1. Deemed Consolidation of the Debtors Is Appropriate. .... 69

    2. The Exculpation Clause Is Permitted in the Ninth Circuit..... 73

    3. The Trustee’s Objection Regarding the Notice in the GUC  
Distribution Trust Has Been Resolved. .... 77

D. The United States’ Objection Should Be Overruled..... 77

E. The HCA Objection Has Been Resolved and Is Now Moot. .... 82

VIII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS..... 83

IX. CONCLUSION ..... 84

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

**Cases**

*In re A & C Properties*,  
784 F.2d 1377 (9th Cir. 1986)..... 46

*In re Adelpia Bus. Solutions, Inc.*,  
341 B.R. 415, 422-23 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2003)..... 64

*In re Aina Le’a, Inc.*,  
No. BR 17-00611, 2019 WL 2274909 (Bankr. D. Haw. May 24,  
2019)..... 45

*Alexander v. Compton (In re Bonham)*,  
229 F.3d 750 (9th Cir. 2000)..... 65, 66

*Am. Hardwoods, Inc. v. Deutsche Credit Corp. (In re Am. Hardwoods,  
Inc.)*,  
885 F.2d 621 (9th Cir. 1989)..... 50

*In re Ambanc La Mesa Ltd. P’ship*,  
115 F.3d 650 (9th Cir. 1997)..... 15

*In re Art & Architecture Books of the 21st Century*,  
No. 2:13-bk-14135-RK, 2016 WL 1118743 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. Mar.  
18, 2016)..... 24

*In re Bally Total Fitness of Greater N.Y., Inc.*,  
No. 07-12395, 2007 WL 2779438 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Sept. 17, 2007)..... 15

*Barakat v. Life Ins. Co. of Va. (In re Barakat)*,  
99 F.3d 1520 (9th Cir. 1996)..... 17

*In re Bashas’ Inc.*,  
437 B.R. 874 (Bankr. D. Ariz. 2010) ..... 64

*Bell Road Inv. Co. v. M. Long Arabians (In re M. Long Arabians)*,  
103 B.R. 211 (9th Cir. BAP 1989)..... 43

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 *Blixseth v. Credit Suisse*,  
961 F.3d 1074 (9th Cir. 2020).....*passim*

2 *In re Cal. Fidelity, Inc.*,  
3 198 B.R. 567 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996)..... 24

4 *In re Chemtura Corp.*,  
439 B.R. 561 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2010) ..... 27

5 *In re Chi-Feng Huang*,  
6 23 B.R.798 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982)..... 43

7 *In re Downtown Inv. Club III*,  
89 B.R. 59 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1988) ..... 22, 65

8 *In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Grp., Inc.*,  
138 B.R. 723 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1992) ..... 29

9 *Durkin v. Benedor Corp. (In re G.I. Indust., Inc.)*,  
10 204 F.3d 1276 (9th Cir. 2000)..... 43

11 *In re Eagle-Picher Indus., Inc.*,  
203 B.R. 256 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 1996) ..... 27

12 *In re Elsinore Shore Assocs.*,  
91 B.R. 238 (Bankr. D. N.J. 1988)..... 29

13 *In re Fraser’s Boiler Serv.*,  
14 593 B.R. 636 (Bankr. W.D. Wash. 2018) ..... 54

15 *In re Harbin*,  
486 F.3d 510 (9th Cir. 2007) ..... 39, 66

16 *Heartland Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass’n v. Briscoe Enters., Ltd. II (In re*  
17 *Briscoe Enters., Ltd. II)*,  
994 F.2d 1160 (5th Cir. 1993)..... 15

18 *In re Johns-Manville Corp.*,  
68 B.R. 618 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1986), *aff’d in part, rev’d in part on*  
19 *other grounds*, 78 B.R. 407 (S.D.N.Y. 1987), *aff’d*, 843 F.2d 636..... 22

20 *Kane v. Johns-Manville Corp. (In re Johns-Manville Corp.)*,  
843 F.2d 636 (2d Cir. 1988) ..... 16, 38

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

v

DENTONS US LLP 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704 Phone: (213) 623-9300 Fax: (213) 623-9924	BUSH KORNFELD LLP LAW OFFICES 601 Union St., Suite 5000 Seattle, Washington 98101-2373 Telephone (206) 292-2110 Facsimile (206) 292-2104
---	---

1 *Lazo v. Roberts*,  
2 No. CV15-7037-CAS(PJWx), 2016 WL 738273 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 22,  
2016)..... 55

3 *In re Leslie Fay Cos.*,  
4 207 B.R. 764 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1997) ..... 27

4 *In re Lisanti Foods, Inc.*,  
5 329 B.R. 491 (D. N.J. 2005)..... 65

6 *In re Lowenschuss*,  
67 F.3d 1394 (9th Cir. 1995) ..... 49, 50

7 *In re Madison Hotel Assocs.*,  
749 F.2d 410 (7th Cir. 1984)..... 27

8 *Meritage Homes of Nev. Inc. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (In re S.*  
9 *Edge LLC)*,  
478 B.R. 403 (D. Nev. 2012)..... 55

10 *In re Mirant Corp.*,  
11 No. 03-46590, 2007 WL 1258932 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. Apr. 27,  
2007)..... 16

12 *In re Pac. Gas & Elec.*,  
13 304 B.R. 395 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2004)..... 45

14 *In re Parks Lumber Co., Inc.*,  
19 B.R. 285 (Bankr. W.D. La. 1982) ..... 29

15 *In re PG & E Corp.*,  
617 B.R. 671 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2020)..... 68

16 *Pizza of Haw., Inc. v. Shakey’s, Inc. (In re Pizza of Haw., Inc.)*,  
17 761 F.2d 1374 (9th Cir. 1985)..... 38

18 *In re Pomona Valley Med. Grp.*,  
476 F.3d 665 (9th Cir. 2007)..... 43, 45

19 *In re Produce Hawaii, Inc.*,  
41 B.R. 301 (Bankr. D. Haw. 1984)..... 29

20

21

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 *In re RCS Capital Dev., LLC*,  
 BAP No. AZ-12-1626-JuTaAh, 2013 WL 3619172 (B.A.P. 9th Cir.  
 2 July 16, 2013) ..... 39

3 *In re Real Wilson Enterprises, Inc.*,  
 No. 11-15697-B-11, 2013 WL 5352697 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. Sept. 23,  
 4 2013)..... 43

5 *In re Rexford Props., LLC*,  
 558 B.R. 352 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2016) ..... 17

6 *Ryan v. Loui (In re Corey)*,  
 892 F.2d 829 (9th Cir. 1989)..... 27

7  
 8 *Seaport Automotive Warehouse, Inc. v. Rohnert Park Auto Parts, Inc.*  
 (*In re Rohnert Park Auto Parts, Inc.*),  
 113 B.R. 610 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1990)..... 50, 53

9  
 10 *In re St. Mary’s Hosp., Passaic, N.J.*,  
 No. 09-15619, 2010 WL 5126151 (Bankr. D.N.J. Feb. 2, 2010)..... 21, 22

11 *In re Station Casinos, Inc.*,  
 2011 WL 6012089 (Bankr. D. Nev. July 28, 2011) ..... 37

12 *In re Stearns Holdings, LLC*,  
 607 B.R. 781 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2019) ..... 55

13  
 14 *Stolrow v. Stolrow’s, Inc. (In re Stolrow’s, Inc.)*,  
 84 B.R. 167 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1988) ..... 27

15 *Sun Valley Newspapers, Inc. v. Sun World Corp. (In re Sun Valley*  
*Newspapers, Inc.)*,  
 171 B.R. 71 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1994) ..... 50

16  
 17 *In re Sylmar Plaza, L.P.*,  
 314 F.3d 1070 (9th Cir. 2002) ..... 27

18 *In re Tristar Fire Protection, Inc.*,  
 466 B.R. 392 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 2012) ..... 66

19  
 20 *In re U.S. Truck Co.*,  
 47 B.R. 932 (E.D. Mich. 1985), aff’d, 800 F.2d 581 (6th Cir. 1986) ..... 38

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
 OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
 Phone: (213) 623-9300  
 Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
 LAW OFFICES  
 601 Union St., Suite 5000  
 Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
 Telephone (206) 292-2110  
 Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 *Underhill v. Royal*,  
769 F.2d 1426 (9th Cir. 1985)..... 50, 51

2 *In re Verity Health Sys. of Cal., Inc., et al.*,  
3 Case No. 2:18-bk-20151-ER, Docket No. 5475  
(Bankr. C.D. Cal. Aug. 12, 2020)..... 68

4 *In re Verity Health Sys. of Cal., Inc., et al.*,  
5 Case No. 2:18-bk-20151-ER (Bankr. C.D. Cal. Aug. 14, 2020) ..... 69

6 *In re W. Asbestos Co.*,  
313 B.R. 832 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2003)..... 56

7 *In re Warren*,  
89 B.R. 87 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1988)..... 28

8 *In re WCI Cable, Inc.*,  
9 282 B.R. 457 (Bankr. D. Or. 2002) ..... 46, 53

10 *In re Worldcom, Inc.*,  
No. 02-13533 (AJG) 2003 WL 23861928 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Oct.  
11 31, 2003)..... 29

12 *In re Yellowstone Mountain Club, LLC*,  
460 B.R. 254 (Bankr. D. Mont. 2011)..... 54, 55, 56, 57

13 **Statutes**

14 11 United States Code

15 § Chapter 7..... *passim*

16 § 105(a)..... 53

17 § 303 ..... 30, 31

18 § 365(a)..... 43

19 § 503 ..... 40

20 § 503(b)..... 35

21 § 507(a)..... 35, 36

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1	§ 507(a)(2) .....	61
	§ 507(a)(8) .....	36
2	§ 524(e) .....	<i>passim</i>
	§ 704(a)(1) .....	32
3	§ 1107 .....	7
	§ 1108 .....	7
4	§ 1112(c) .....	21, 30, 31
	§ 1121 .....	23
5	§ 1122 .....	17
	§ 1122(a) .....	17
6	§ 1123 .....	16
	§ 1123(a) .....	19, 20
7	§ 1123(a)(1) .....	16, 20
	§ 1123(a)(1)-(a)(7) .....	19
8	§ 1123(a)(2) .....	20
	§ 1123(a)(2)(A) .....	48
9	§ 1123(a)(3) .....	20
	§ 1123(a)(4) .....	20
10	§ 1123(a)(5) .....	20
	§ 1123(a)(6) .....	21
11	§ 1123(a)(7) .....	22
	§ 1123(b) .....	42, 45, 46
12	§ 1123(b)(3)(A) .....	<i>passim</i>
	§ 1125 .....	16, 23
13	§ 1125(b) .....	23, 24
	§ 1126 .....	<i>passim</i>
14	§ 1126(c) .....	23, 34
	§ 1126(d) .....	23
15	§ 1126(f) .....	24, 34
	§ 1127 .....	16, 23
16	§ 1129 .....	5, 15, 16
	§ 1129(a) .....	13, 20
17	§ 1129(a)(1) .....	13, 14
	§ 1129(a)(2) .....	22
18	§ 1129(a)(3) .....	27
	§ 1129(a)(4) .....	29
19	§ 1129(a)(5) .....	29, 30
	§ 1129(a)(5)(A)(i) .....	29
20	§ 1129(a)(5)(A)(ii) .....	29
	§ 1129(a)(5)(B) .....	29

21

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 § 1129(a)(6) ..... 30  
 § 1129(a)(7) ..... 30, 34, 66  
 2 § 1129(a)(7)(i) ..... 31  
 § 1129(a)(8) ..... 43  
 3 § 1129(a)(9) ..... *passim*  
 § 1129(a)(9)(C) ..... 36  
 4 § 1129(a)(10) ..... 37  
 § 1129(a)(11) ..... 6, 37, 38, 39  
 5 § 1129(a)(12) ..... 39, 40  
 § 1129(a)(13) ..... 40  
 6 § 1129(a)(14) ..... 40  
 § 1129(a)(15) ..... 40  
 7 § 1129(a)(16) ..... 41  
 § 1129(b) ..... 43, 44  
 8 § 1129(b)(2)(B)(ii) ..... 44  
 § 1129(d) ..... 44

9 **28 United States Code**

10 § 157 ..... 12  
 § 157(b)(2) ..... 12  
 11 § 1334 ..... 12  
 § 1408 ..... 12  
 12 § 1409 ..... 12  
 § 1930 ..... 39

13 **Securities Act of 1933**

14 § 5 ..... 41, 42

15 **Rules and Regulations**

16 **Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure**

16 3017 ..... 21  
 3018 ..... 21  
 17 3020(b)(2) ..... 26  
 9019 ..... 45, 46

18 **Other Authorities**

19 H.R. REP. 109-31(I), 145, 2005 WL 832198, 121, 2005 U.S.C.C.A.N.  
 20 88, 203-04 (2005) ..... 41

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
 OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
 Phone: (213) 623-9300  
 Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
 LAW OFFICES  
 601 Union St., Suite 5000  
 Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
 Telephone (206) 292-2110  
 Facsimile (206) 292-2104

X

1 H.R. Rep. No. 95-595 (1977) ..... 14, 20  
2 S. Rep. No. 95-989 (1978)..... 14, 20

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 Astria Health (“Astria”) and the affiliated debtors, the debtors and debtors in  
2 possession (each a “Debtor” and, collectively, the “Debtors”) in the above-captioned  
3 chapter 11 bankruptcy cases (the “Chapter 11 Cases”), and Lapis Advisers, LP as  
4 lender under the Debtors’ debtor in possession facility in the Chapter 11 Cases, agent  
5 under the Debtors’ prepetition credit agreement, and as investment advisor and  
6 investment manager for certain funds which are beneficial holders of those certain  
7 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds (collectively the “Lapis  
8 Parties” and, together with the Debtors, the “Plan Proponents”), hereby file this brief  
9 in support of confirmation of the *Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of*  
10 *Reorganization of Astria Health and its Debtor Affiliates* [Docket No. 1986], as may  
11 be amended and supplemented from time to time (the “Plan”)<sup>2</sup> and reply to the  
12 objections [Docket Nos. 2065, 2066, 2068, 2077, 2079] (collectively, the  
13 “Objections”) filed by certain parties (listed below and collectively referred to as the  
14 “Objectors”)<sup>3</sup> to confirmation of the Plan (collectively, the “Confirmation Brief”),  
15

16 <sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise provided herein, all capitalized terms have the definitions set forth  
17 in the Plan.

18 <sup>3</sup> The Objectors are: Cerner Corporation, Premier, Inc., the United States Trustee,  
19 the United States of America (on behalf of the United States Small Business  
20 Administration and the Department of Health and Human Services, acting through  
21

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 and, in support of the Confirmation Brief, the Debtors submit the Declaration of  
2 Michael Lane (the "Lane Decl."), and respectfully state as follows:

### 3 I. INTRODUCTION

4 The Plan filed by the Debtors and the Lapis Parties as co-Plan Proponents,  
5 provides for a restructuring and reorganization of the Debtors' operating facilities  
6 that will enable the operating Debtors to emerge from chapter 11 as a well-capitalized  
7 healthcare system that is positioned for long-term success. Confirmation of the Plan  
8 will also preserve critical patient care for communities in the Yakima Valley and jobs  
9 for their employees, and will maximize the value of the Debtors' Estates for all  
10 creditors with Allowed Claims.

11 Since the Petition Date, the Debtors and their management, advisors, creditors,  
12 stakeholders, community members, and employees, among others, have worked  
13 tirelessly to maintain hospital operations; preserve the going-concern value of the  
14 Debtors' two operating Hospitals (and maximize the sale value of a hospital which  
15 closed in January 2020 and certain associated properties); to protect the health and  
16 wellbeing of the patients who are treated at the operating Hospitals and the jobs of  
17 the Debtors' current employees; and maximize the value of their assets for the benefit

18  
19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 its designated component, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services) and the  
21 State of Washington Health Care Authority.

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 of their creditors. In fact, the Debtors continue to operate their remaining two  
2 Hospitals, satisfy their obligations in these cases, and steadfastly work with their  
3 constituents to negotiate and structure the Plan, and conclude these Chapter 11 Cases.

4 The cornerstones of the Plan are compromises among the Debtors, the Lapis  
5 Parties, and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors. These compromises are  
6 the result of successful and substantial negotiations among these major constituents  
7 in these Chapter 11 Cases. In particular, the Lapis Parties have agreed, as part of the  
8 Plan, to reinstatement and repayment of their secured claims over time in accordance  
9 with the terms of the Exchange Debt Documents including their claims for debtor-  
10 in-possession financing that, absent these arrangements, would have to be paid in full  
11 in cash on the Effective Date. Meanwhile, the Plan Proponents and the Committee  
12 resolved several issues the Committee raised relating to confirmation of the Plan and  
13 the treatment of Holders of General Unsecured Claims. The resulting Plan  
14 maximizes the value of the ultimate recoveries to all creditor groups on a fair and  
15 equitable basis, settles significant claims against the Debtors on terms that are fair,  
16 reasonable, and in the best interests of the Debtors' Estates and creditors, pays  
17 Allowed Administrative Claims and Priority Claims in full, and provides for a  
18 recovery of at least \$7.3 million to the holders of the Allowed General Unsecured  
19 Claims. In recognition of these extraordinary efforts and the fair and equitable  
20

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 results, the Plan has been overwhelmingly accepted by all Voting Classes that  
2 returned ballots on the Plan.

3 Reflecting the consensual nature of these Chapter 11 Cases, the Plan  
4 Proponents received only a handful of objections to confirmation, some of which  
5 already have been resolved. As set forth more fully below, the Plan meets all  
6 requirements for confirmation under title 11 of the United States Code (the  
7 “Bankruptcy Code”) and these Objections should be overruled. Notably, the  
8 Objections are primarily limited to §§ 524(e), 1123(b)(3)(A), 1129(a)(9), and  
9 1129(a)(11), and concede that the Plan satisfies the majority of the Bankruptcy  
10 Code’s confirmation requirements.

11 The Plan Proponents will file an amended Plan prior to the Confirmation  
12 Hearing, to provide non-material modifications and clarifications to the Plan to  
13 address certain points raised in the Objections. These non-material modifications  
14 will not require resolicitation of the Plan and do not alter the substantive rights of  
15 Holders of Claims treated under the Plan.

16 Based on the foregoing, and as set forth below, the Plan is proposed in good  
17 faith and confirmation is warranted as a matter of law. The Plan Proponents submit  
18 that the Court should enter the Confirmation Order substantially in the form which  
19 shall be filed prior to the Confirmation Hearing.  
20

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 **II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

2 **A. General Background**

3 1. On May 6, 2019 (the “Petition Date”), each of the Debtors filed a  
4 voluntary petition for relief under the Bankruptcy Code. These Chapter 11 Cases are  
5 jointly administered before this Court. [Docket No. 10]. The Debtors are operating  
6 their businesses as debtors in possession pursuant to §§ 1107 and 1108.<sup>4</sup>

7 2. Debtor Astria, a Washington nonprofit corporation, is the direct or  
8 indirect corporate member of entities that make it (at least as of the Petition Date) the  
9 largest non-profit healthcare system based in Eastern Washington. The Astria Health  
10 system is headquartered in the heart of Yakima Valley, Washington, with Hospital  
11 facilities in Sunnyside, and Toppenish, Washington.

12 3. At the Petition Date, Astria system included three hospitals: Astria  
13 Regional Medical Center, a 214-bed hospital in Yakima, Washington (“ARMC”);  
14 Astria Sunnyside Hospital, a 38-bed critical access hospital in Sunnyside,  
15 Washington (“Sunnyside”); and Astria Toppenish Hospital, a 63-bed hospital in

16  
17 <sup>4</sup> All references to “§” are to sections of the Bankruptcy Code; all references to  
18 “Bankruptcy Rules” are to provisions of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure;  
19 all references to “LBR” are to provisions of the Local Bankruptcy Rules of the United  
20 States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Washington.

1 Toppenish, Washington (“Toppenish,” and referred to collectively with Sunnyside  
2 and the Medical Center as the “Hospitals”).

3 4. The United States Trustee appointed the Official Committee of  
4 Unsecured Creditors (the “Committee”) in these Chapter 11 Cases on May 24, 2019.  
5 [Docket No. 135]. No trustee or examiner has been appointed.

6 5. On January 9, 2020, the Court approved the Debtors’ motion to close  
7 ARMC. [See Docket Nos. 867, 874]. The Debtors later retained Cushman &  
8 Wakefield U.S., Inc. and Almon Commercial Real Estate as real estate brokers to  
9 market the ARMC facility, as well as other real estate in the Yakima area. [See  
10 Docket Nos. 1243-44]. After negotiating with prospective buyers, the Debtors, in  
11 consultation with the Lapis Parties, selected Yakima MOBIC, LLC as the entity to  
12 acquire the ARMC hospital building and adjacent medical office building, for  
13 \$20 million. On October 7, 2020, the Debtors filed a motion to approve this sale  
14 [Docket No. 1891] (the “MOB Sale Motion”). On October 26, 2020, the Court  
15 entered an order approving the sale pursuant to the MOB Sale Motion [Docket No.  
16 1950].

17 6. Additional information about the Debtors’ businesses and affairs,  
18 capital structure, and prepetition indebtedness, and the events leading up to the  
19 Petition Date, can be found in the *Declaration of John M. Gallagher in Support of*  
20 *Emergency First-Day Motions* [Docket No. 21] (the “First Day Declaration”) as well

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 as the broader record of the Chapter 11 Cases, which are incorporated herein by  
2 reference.

3 **B. Plan Overview**

4 7. The Plan is built around the settlement of all rights, claims and interests  
5 associated with the Lapis Parties' DIP Claims, Senior Secured Bond Debt Claims  
6 and Senior Secured Credit Agreement Claims (the "Senior Debt 9019 Settlement").  
7 The Senior Debt 9019 Settlement is comprised of (i) the classification and treatment  
8 of the DIP Claims, Senior Secured Bond Debt Claims and Senior Secured Credit  
9 Agreement Claims and other Lapis Parties prepetition Claims as specified in the Plan,  
10 (ii) the issuance (or reinstatement, as applicable) of Exchange Debt, and (iii) the  
11 release and exculpation terms for the Lapis Parties as specified in the Plan.

12 8. The Plan also embodies the Committee Plan Settlement set forth in the  
13 Term Sheet between the Debtors, the Committee, and the Lapis Parties, which  
14 reflects a compromise and settlement of numerous complex issues including, but not  
15 limited to, those set forth in the *Limited Objection of Official Committee of*  
16 *Unsecured Creditors to Motion for an Order Approving: (i) Proposed Disclosure*  
17 *Statement; (ii) Solicitation and Voting Procedures; (iii) Notice and Objection*  
18 *Procedure for Confirmation of Joint Plan of Reorganization; and (iv) Granting*  
19 *Related Relief* [Docket No. 1624]. The Debtors and the Committee engaged in  
20 extensive negotiations regarding these issues culminating in a settlement resolving

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 the Committee's objections as set forth in the Term Sheet between the parties, the  
2 terms of which have been incorporated into the Plan. As amended in light of the  
3 settlement, the Plan provides, among other things, contributions totaling not less than  
4 \$7.3 million by the Debtors and/or Reorganized Debtors to the GUC Distribution  
5 Trust for distribution to the Holders of Allowed General Unsecured Claims consistent  
6 with the Plan's terms, and the potential for additional funds dependent upon the  
7 ultimate resolution of certain causes of action belonging to the Debtors and their  
8 estates and Avoidance Actions to be transferred to the GUC Distribution Trust on the  
9 Effective Date.

10 9. Also, on the Effective Date, all Liquidation Trust Assets shall be  
11 contributed to the Liquidation Trust Agreement. The Plan also provides that the  
12 Reorganized Debtors, controlled by AH System as the sole member, will provide the  
13 management for the Hospitals after the Effective Date. In the event any Liquidation  
14 Trust Assets are liquidated, the proceeds of such liquidation shall be used generally  
15 to fund AH System's operating cash account up to an amount equal to the lesser of  
16 \$10 million or thirty (30) days cash on hand and then to pay the Exchange Debt in  
17 accordance with the Exchange Debt Documents.

18 10. The Plan deems the Debtors consolidated for the purposes of Claim  
19 allowance and distribution, which treats the Debtors' assets and liabilities as if they  
20 were pooled without actually merging the Debtor entities.

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 11. The Plan describes the specific treatment of all Claims and the  
2 distribution of proceeds to Holders of Allowed Claims. As set forth in Section II of  
3 the Plan, except for Administrative Claims, Priority Tax Claims, Professional Fee  
4 Claims, and DIP Claims, which are not required to be classified, all Claims are  
5 divided into Classes under the Plan, as follows.

6 12. The Plan classifies the Priority Claims (Class 1) as unimpaired and  
7 deemed to have accepted the Plan (and thus not entitled to vote on the Plan). Class  
8 1 Claims are anticipated to recover 100% of their Allowed Claims.

9 13. The Plan classifies the following Claims as impaired and entitled to vote  
10 on the Plan: Classes 2A (Senior Secured Bond Debt Claims), 2B (Senior Secured  
11 Credit Agreement Claims), 2C (Other Secured Claims), 3 (Convenience Class  
12 Claims), 4 (General Unsecured Claims), and 4A (Insured Claims).

13 14. Under the Plan, (i) Senior Secured Bond Debt Claims (Class 2A) are  
14 reinstated on the terms of the Exchange Debt Documents, (ii) Senior Secured Credit  
15 Agreement Claims (Class 2B) are exchanged for Senior Secured Credit Agreement  
16 Exchange Debt, and (iii) Other Secured Claims (Class 2C) will be paid (a) Cash in  
17 full, (b) a reinstated note on the same payment and collateral terms as its prior Claim,  
18 (c) a return of collateral securing the Claim, or (d) such less favorable treatment to  
19 which the Holder otherwise agrees.

20  
21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 15. Convenience Class Claims (Class 3) will be paid 20% of the allowed  
2 amount of the Claim up to \$1,000.

3 16. Holders of Allowed General Unsecured Claims (Class 4) shall receive,  
4 on one or more GUC Distribution Dates, a *Pro Rata* share of the Net GUC  
5 Distribution Trust Assets and Insured Claims (Class 4A) shall recover only from the  
6 available insurance and Debtors shall be discharged to the extent of any such excess.

7 17. Intercompany Claims (Class 5) are eliminated under the Plan.

8 18. The Plan Proponents have requested that the Bankruptcy Court approve  
9 and implement the terms of (i) the Plan, (ii) the Senior Debt 9019 Settlement, (iii) the  
10 Committee Plan Settlement, and (iv) other documents necessary to effectuate the  
11 Plan.

### 12 **C. The Disclosure Statement and Solicitation**

13 19. On July 7, 2020, the Plan Proponents filed a joint motion [Docket No.  
14 1473] seeking approval of the Disclosure Statement and procedures for the  
15 solicitation and tabulation of votes to accept or reject the Plan (the “Disclosure  
16 Statement Motion”), including proposed solicitation procedures (the “Solicitation  
17 Procedures”) and vote tabulation procedures (the “Tabulation Procedures”). That  
18 same day, the Plan Proponents filed their Plan and Disclosure Statement, which were  
19 later amended to address certain modifications and informal objections, and to  
20 incorporate the Committee Plan Settlement.

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1           20. On November 12, 2020, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order [Docket  
2 No. 1991] (the “Disclosure Statement Order”) following the hearing on the  
3 Disclosure Statement Motion, which, among other things, granted the Disclosure  
4 Statement Motion and approved the Disclosure Statement, the Solicitation  
5 Procedures, and the Tabulation Procedures.

6           21. On or before November 19, 2020, the Plan Proponents, through their  
7 noticing and claims agent, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC (“KCC”), timely  
8 mailed a solicitation package (the “Solicitation Package”) to holders of claims  
9 entitled to vote on the Plan. *See* Certificate of Service at Docket Nos. 1994 and 2002.  
10 On November 17, 2020, the Plan Proponents also published notice of the hearing on  
11 confirmation of the Plan in the following newspapers: Yakima Herald-Republic and  
12 USA Today. [*See* Docket Nos. 2026, 2027].

13           22. Prior to the Voting Deadline, the Plan Proponents also filed certain  
14 documents constituting the Plan supplement (as may be amended, modified, or  
15 supplemented from time to time, the “Plan Supplement”) in accordance with Section  
16 1.121 of the Plan. [Docket Nos. 2043, 2082].

17 **D. Vote Tabulation**

18           23. The deadline to file objections to the Plan was December 4, 2020, and  
19 the deadline for all holders of Claims entitled to vote on the Plan to cast their ballots  
20 was December 4, 2020, at 4:00 p.m. (Pacific Time) (the “Voting Deadline”). *See*

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 Disclosure Statement Order ¶ 13. All classes of creditors entitled to vote that returned  
 2 a ballot (other than Class 4A which did not submit any votes) have voted in favor of  
 3 confirmation. Concurrently herewith, the Debtors filed the Voting Declaration and  
 4 reports of their Court-appointed voting and claims agent, KCC.

5 24. After the Voting Deadline, KCC tabulated the votes to accept or reject  
 6 the Plan reflected in the ballots received on or before the Voting Deadline. *See*  
 7 *Certification of Leanne V. Rehder Scott with Respect to the Tabulation of Votes on*  
 8 *the Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Astria Health and its Debtor Affiliates*  
 9 [Docket No. 2121] (the “Voting Declaration”) at ¶¶ 9-15. As set forth in the Voting  
 10 Declaration and the table below, each class eligible to vote on the Plan (other than  
 11 Class 4A (Insured Claims) which did not submit any ballots accepting or rejecting  
 12 the Plan) (the “Voting Classes”) voted to accept the Plan:

CLASS	ACCEPTING				REJECTING			
	Ballot Count	Ballot Count (%)	Dollar Amount	Dollar Amount (%)	Ballot Count	Ballot Count (%)	Dollar Amount	Dollar Amount (%)
2A	1	100%	\$36,732,417.00	100%	0	0%	\$0	0%
2B	1	100%	\$10,477,534.25	100%	0	0%	\$0	0%
2C	8	88.89%	\$3,310,212.33	93.33%	1	11.11%	\$236,408.70	6.67%
3	85	97.70%	\$206,625.91	97.62%	2	2.30%	\$5,035.00	2.38%
4	79	87.78%	\$16,018,320.45	72.36%	11	12.22%	\$6,119,825.07	27.64%
4A	0	0%	\$0	0%	0	0%	\$0	0%

18 25. The hearing on Plan confirmation (the “Confirmation Hearing”) is  
 19 scheduled to occur on December 18, 2020, at 10:00 a.m. (Pacific Time).

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
 OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
 Phone: (213) 623-9300  
 Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
 LAW OFFICES  
 601 Union St., Suite 5000  
 Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
 Telephone (206) 292-2110  
 Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

**III. JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND STATUTORY PREDICATES**

The Bankruptcy Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

The Plan Proponents seek, in part, an order confirming the Plan. The statutory predicates for this relief are §§ 1122, 1123, 1125, 1126, 1127, and 1129.

**IV. THE PLAN SATISFIES EACH REQUIREMENT FOR CONFIRMATION**

To confirm the Plan, the Plan Proponents must demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that they have satisfied the provisions of § 1129. *See In re Ambanc La Mesa Ltd. P’ship*, 115 F.3d 650, 653 (9th Cir. 1997) (“The bankruptcy court *must* confirm a Chapter 11 debtor’s plan . . . if the debtor proves by a preponderance of the evidence” that the plan meets the requirements of § 1129.) (emphasis added); *see also Heartland Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass’n v. Briscoe Enters., Ltd. II (In re Briscoe Enters., Ltd. II)*, 994 F.2d 1160, 1165 (5th Cir. 1993) (“The combination of legislative silence, Supreme Court holdings, and the structure of the [Bankruptcy] Code leads this Court to conclude that preponderance of the evidence is the debtor’s appropriate standard of proof both under § 1129(a) and in a cramdown.”); *In re Bally Total Fitness of Greater N.Y., Inc.*, No. 07-12395, 2007 WL 2779438, at \*3 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Sept. 17, 2007) (“The Debtors, as proponents of the Plan, have the burden of proving the satisfaction of the elements of Sections

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 1129(a) and (b) of the Bankruptcy Code by a preponderance of the evidence.”). Here,  
2 the Plan complies with all relevant sections of the Bankruptcy Code, including §§  
3 1122, 1123, 1125, 1126, 1127, and 1129, as well as the Bankruptcy Rules and  
4 applicable non-bankruptcy law. This memorandum addresses each requirement  
5 individually.

6 **A. The Plan Complies With the Applicable Provisions of the Bankruptcy  
Code (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(1)).**

7 Section 1129(a)(1) requires that a chapter 11 plan “compl[y] with the  
8 applicable provisions of [the Bankruptcy Code].” 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(1). The  
9 legislative history of § 1129(a)(1) explains that this provision encompasses the  
10 requirements of §§ 1122 and 1123 including, principally, rules governing  
11 classification of claims and interests and the contents of a chapter 11 plan. S. Rep.  
12 No. 95-989, at 126 (1978); H.R. Rep. No. 95-595, at 412 (1977); *see also Kane v.*  
13 *Johns-Manville Corp. (In re Johns-Manville Corp.)*, 843 F.2d 636, 648-49 (2d Cir.  
14 1988) (suggesting Congress intended the phrase “‘applicable provisions’ in [§  
15 1129(a)(1)] to mean provisions of Chapter 11 . . . such as section 1122”); *see also In*  
16 *re Mirant Corp.*, No. 03-46590, 2007 WL 1258932, at \*7 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. Apr. 27,  
17 2007) (noting that objective of § 1129(a)(1) is to assure compliance with sections of  
18 Bankruptcy Code governing classification and contents of a plan); 7 COLLIER ON  
19 BANKRUPTCY ¶ 1129.02 (Richard Levin & Henry J. Sommer eds., 16th ed.). As  
20 explained below, the Plan complies with §§ 1122 and 1123 in all respects.

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1           ***1. The Plan Satisfies the Classification Requirements of § 1122.***

2           Section 1122 of the Bankruptcy Code governs the classification of claims and  
3 interests. Section 1122(a) requires that a plan “place a claim or an interest in a  
4 particular class only if such claim or interest is substantially similar to the other  
5 claims or interests in such class.” The Ninth Circuit has recognized that, under  
6 § 1122, plan proponents have significant flexibility to place similar claims into  
7 different classes, provided there is a rational basis for doing so. *See Barakat v. Life*  
8 *Ins. Co. of Va. (In re Barakat)*, 99 F.3d 1520, 1524–25 (9th Cir. 1996); *see also In re*  
9 *Rexford Props., LLC*, 558 B.R. 352, 361 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2016) (“A claim that is  
10 substantially similar to other claims may be classified separately from those claims,  
11 even though section 1122(a) does not say so expressly.”). For example, courts have  
12 allowed separate classification where there are good business reasons for separate  
13 classification. *See Barakat*, 99 F.3d at 1524-25 (holding that substantially similar  
14 claims may be classified separately if there is a “legitimate business or economic  
15 justification” for doing so).

16           Section II of the Plan provides for the separate classification of Claims into  
17 seven different Classes based upon differences in the legal or factual nature of those  
18 Claims or other relevant and objective criteria. Each of the Claims in a particular  
19 Class under the Plan is substantially similar to other Claims in such Class, and the  
20 classification structure is necessary to implement certain aspects of the Plan. Valid

21           **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 and sound factual and legal reasons exist for the separate classification of Claims,  
 2 including, but not limited to the fact that each of the Claims in a particular Class are  
 3 substantially similar to the other Claims in such Class and, therefore, the  
 4 classification scheme does not discriminate unfairly between or among holders of  
 5 such Claims.

6 Specifically, the Plan divides the classified Claims into the following Classes:

7	<b>CLASS</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>IMPAIRED/ UNIMPAIRED</b>	<b>VOTING STATUS</b>
8	1	Priority Claims	Unimpaired	Not Entitled to Vote / Deemed to Accept
9	2A	Senior Secured Bond Debt Claims	Impaired	Entitled to Vote
10	2B	Senior Secured Credit Agreement Claims	Impaired	Entitled to Vote
11	2C	Other Secured Claims	Impaired	Entitled to Vote
12	3	Convenience Class Claims	Impaired	Entitled to Vote
13	4	General Unsecured Claims	Impaired	Entitled to Vote
14	4A	Insured Claims	Impaired	Entitled to Vote
15	5	Intercompany Claims	Eliminated Through Consolidation of Debtors	N/A

16 Administrative Claims, Priority Tax Claims, Professional Fee Claims, and DIP  
 17 Claims (the “Unclassified Claims”) are not classified and are separately treated under  
 18 Section II of the Plan.

19 Finally, the classification structure was not designed to gerrymander the  
 20 Classes to create an impaired accepting Class. This is evident in part based on the

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
 Phone: (213) 623-9300  
 Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
 LAW OFFICES  
 601 Union St., Suite 5000  
 Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
 Telephone (206) 292-2110  
 Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 fact that each class that returned a ballot (other than Class 4A, which did not vote)  
2 voted overwhelmingly to accept the Plan. Further, Classes 2A, 2B, 2C, 3, 4, and 4A  
3 are impaired Classes entitled to vote on the Plan. The Lapis Parties are co-Plan  
4 Proponents and Holders of Class 2A and 2B Claims. Thus, the Lapis Parties knew,  
5 at the time of Plan formulation, that the Holders of Class 2A and 2B Claims would  
6 vote to accept the Plan. The Plan Proponents therefore had no motivation to  
7 gerrymander the Classes to obtain an impaired accepting Class. Accordingly, the  
8 Plan fully complies with the requirements of § 1122.

9 **2. *The Plan Satisfies the Seven Mandatory Plan Requirements of***  
10 ***§§ 1123(a)(1)-(a)(7).***

11 Section 1123(a) requires that the contents of a chapter 11 plan: (i) designate  
12 classes of claims and interests; (ii) specify unimpaired classes of claims and interests;  
13 (iii) specify treatment of impaired classes of claims and interests; (iv) provide the  
14 same treatment for each claim or interest of a particular class, unless the holder of a  
15 particular claim agrees to a less favorable treatment of such particular claim or  
16 interest; (v) provide adequate means for the plan's implementation; (vi) provide for  
17 the prohibition of nonvoting equity securities and provide an appropriate distribution  
18 of voting power among the classes of securities; and (vii) contain only provisions that  
19 are consistent with the interests of the creditors and equity security holders and with  
20 public policy with respect to the manner of selection of any officer, director, or trustee  
21 under the plan.

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 The Plan satisfies the mandatory plan requirements set forth in § 1123(a).  
2 Section II of the Plan satisfies the first three requirements of § 1123(a) by designating  
3 Classes of Claims, as required by § 1123(a)(1), specifying the Classes of Claims that  
4 are Unimpaired under the Plan, as required by §1123(a)(2), and specifying the  
5 treatment of each Class of Claims that is impaired, as required by § 1123(a)(3). The  
6 Plan also satisfies § 1123(a)(4)—the fourth mandatory requirement—because the  
7 treatment of each Allowed Claim within a Class is the same as the treatment of each  
8 other Allowed Claim in that Class, unless the holder of a Claim consents to less  
9 favorable treatment on account of its Claim.

10 The provisions of the Plan provide adequate means for the Plan’s  
11 implementation, thus satisfying the fifth requirement of § 1123(a). *See* § 1123(a)(5).  
12 The provisions of Section III of the Plan, along with the Plan Supplement, relate to,  
13 among other things: (i) AH NP 2, a Washington nonprofit corporation and currently  
14 a wholly owned nondebtor subsidiary of Astria, will become the sole member of  
15 Astria; and Astria will change from a no-member nonprofit corporation to a single  
16 member nonprofit corporation; (ii) a newly created nondebtor entity, AH System, a  
17 freestanding Washington nonprofit corporation, will assume the non-discharged debt  
18 of the Debtors in exchange for AH NP 2’s transfer of its sole membership interest in  
19 Astria to AH System; (iii) the reinstatement of the Senior Secured Bond Debt Claims;  
20 (iv) the issuance of the Exchange Debt to satisfy the DIP Claims and Senior Secured

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 Credit Agreement Claims in full; (v) the creation of the GUC Distribution Trust and  
2 Liquidation Trust; (vi) the investigation and potential prosecution of D&O Causes of  
3 Action consistent with the terms of the D&O Cause of Action Agreement; (vii) the  
4 management of the Reorganized Debtors; (viii) the creation of an Administrative and  
5 Priority Claims Reserve; (ix) provisions governing objections to Claims; and (x)  
6 provisions governing distributions to Holders of Claims.

7 The sixth requirement of § 1123(a)—*i.e.*, that if a debtor is a corporation, its  
8 plan must prohibit the issuance of nonvoting equity securities—is also met. *See*  
9 § 1123(a)(6). The Debtors, which are nonprofit public benefit corporations, will not  
10 issue any stock or other securities under the Plan. Thus, the Plan comports with  
11 § 1123(a)(6). *See In re St. Mary's Hosp., Passaic, N.J.*, No. 09-15619, 2010 WL  
12 5126151, at \*4 (Bankr. D.N.J. Feb. 2, 2010) (“Sections 1123(a)(6) and (a)(7) of the  
13 Bankruptcy Code are not applicable to this case, as the Debtor is a non-stock, not-  
14 for-profit corporation.”).

15 Finally, the Plan fulfills the seventh requirement in § 1123(a), which requires  
16 that the Plan provisions with respect to the manner of selection of any officer,  
17 director, or trustee “contain only provisions that are consistent with the interests of  
18 creditors and equity security holders and with public policy.” 11 U.S.C. § 1123(a)(7).  
19 Section III.I of the Plan explains that the Reorganized Debtors, controlled by AH  
20 System as the sole member, will provide the management for the Hospitals after the

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 Effective Date. The Debtors intend to reject the Executive Services Agreement with  
2 AHM, Inc. (“AHM”) as of the earlier of the date ordered by the Court on a motion to  
3 reject the agreement, or the Effective Date. The Debtors also expect that all AHM  
4 employees currently serving as officers or employees of the Debtors will be offered  
5 employment by AH System. The Debtors filed a Plan Supplement that identified the  
6 new directors for the Reorganized Debtors as: Maureen Ames Spivack, Kimberly  
7 Anne Clift, Debbie Jo Ahl, and Jim Hansen. [Docket No. 2043, Exhibit C].

8 The Plan is also in compliance with the requirement that the selection of any  
9 officer, director, or trustee be made in the interests of equity security holders because  
10 the Plan does not provide for the creation of any equity security interests. *See* 11  
11 U.S.C. § 1123(a)(7); *see also St. Mary’s Hosp., Passaic, N.J.*, 2010 WL 5126151, at  
12 \*4 (finding § 1123(a)(7) inapplicable to nonprofit entities).

13 **B. The Plan Complies With the Applicable Provisions of the Bankruptcy**  
14 **Code (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(2)).**

15 Section 1129(a)(2) requires that the proponent of a chapter 11 plan comply  
16 with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. The legislative history to  
17 § 1129(a)(2) reflects that this provision is intended to encompass the disclosure and  
18 solicitation requirements set forth in § 1125 and the plan acceptance requirements set  
19 forth in § 1126. *See In re Johns-Manville Corp.*, 68 B.R. 618, 630 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.  
20 1986), *aff’d in part, rev’d in part on other grounds*, 78 B.R. 407 (S.D.N.Y. 1987),  
21 *aff’d*, 843 F.2d 636 (“Objections to confirmation raised under § 1129(a)(2) generally

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 involve the alleged failure of the plan proponent to comply with § 1125 and § 1126  
2 of the [Bankruptcy] Code.”); *In re Downtown Inv. Club III*, 89 B.R. 59, 65 (B.A.P.  
3 9th Cir. 1988) (“Section 1129(a)(2) in turn requires that the proponent of the plan  
4 complies with the applicable provisions of Title 11.”); *see also* H.R. Rep. No. 95-  
5 595, at 412 (1977); S. Rep. No. 95-989, at 126 (1978) (“Paragraph (2) [of section  
6 1129(a)] requires that the proponent of the plan comply with the applicable  
7 provisions of chapter 11, such as section 1125 regarding disclosure.”). The Plan  
8 Proponents have complied with these provisions, including §§ 1121, 1125, 1126, and  
9 1127, as well as Bankruptcy Rules 3017 and 3018, by carrying out the Solicitation  
10 Procedures approved by the Court in its Disclosure Statement Order.

11 ***1. The Plan Proponents Are Authorized to File the Joint Plan Under***  
12 ***§ 1121.***

13 Section 1121(c) provides that “[a]ny party in interest including the debtor . . .  
14 a creditors’ committee, [or] . . . a creditor . . . may file a plan.” 11 U.S.C. § 1121(c).  
15 Since the Debtors and the Lapis Parties are co-Plan Proponents, and the Plan  
16 Proponents are all clearly parties in interest as expressly contemplated by § 1121(c),  
17 the requirements of § 1121 are satisfied.

18 ***2. The Plan Proponents Complied with the Disclosure Statement and***  
19 ***Solicitation Requirements of § 1125.***

20 Section 1125(b) prohibits the solicitation of acceptances or rejections of a plan  
21 “unless, at the time of or before such solicitation, there is transmitted to such holder

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 the plan or a summary of the plan, and a written disclosure statement approved, after  
2 notice and a hearing, by the court as containing adequate information.” 11 U.S.C.  
3 § 1125(b). The purpose of § 1125 is to ensure that parties-in-interest are fully  
4 informed on the condition of the Debtors, the means for implementation of the Plan,  
5 and the treatment of all classes of Claims so they may make an informed decision on  
6 whether to accept or reject the Plan. *See In re Cal. Fidelity, Inc.*, 198 B.R. 567, 571  
7 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1996) (“At a minimum, § 1125(b) seeks to guarantee that a creditor  
8 receives adequate information about the plan before the creditor is asked for a vote.”);  
9 *In re Art & Architecture Books of the 21st Century*, No. 2:13-bk-14135-RK, 2016  
10 WL 1118743, at \*14 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. Mar. 18, 2016) (“The primary purpose of a  
11 disclosure statement is to give creditors and interest holders the information they need  
12 to decide whether to accept the plan.”) (citing *Captain Blythers, Inc. v. Thompson (In*  
13 *re Captain Blythers, Inc.)*, 311 B.R. 530, 537 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2004).

14 The Plan Proponents have satisfied § 1125. On November 4, 2020, the Plan  
15 Proponents filed the first amended plan [Docket No. 1967] and related disclosure  
16 statement [Docket No. 1968] and requested approval of the notice periods for  
17 approval of the disclosure statement and confirmation of the Plan in order to meet  
18 the deadlines negotiated with the Plan Proponents for the Effective Date of the Plan.  
19 [Docket Nos. 1970]. On November 6, 2020, the Court held a hearing to consider the  
20 disclosure statement. Thereafter, on November 11, 2020, the Plan Proponents filed

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 the Plan and Disclosure Statement, which incorporated certain revisions to address  
2 comments received from Court and certain objecting parties.

3 On November 12, 2020, the Court entered the Disclosure Statement Order,  
4 approving notice schedule proposed by the Plan Proponents. [Docket No. 1991].  
5 The Disclosure Statement Order also found that the Disclosure Statement contains  
6 adequate information, and approved the Solicitation and Tabulation Procedures. *See*  
7 Disclosure Statement Order at ¶¶ C, 2, 16, and 22. The Disclosure Statement Order  
8 approved the contents of the Solicitation Packages that the Plan Proponents provided  
9 to holders of Claims in Voting Classes and the timing and method of delivery of the  
10 Solicitation Packages. *See id.* at ¶¶ 6-15. As detailed in the Voting Declaration, the  
11 Plan Proponents complied in all respects with the Solicitation Procedures as outlined  
12 in the Disclosure Statement Order, including their compliance with service  
13 requirements and not soliciting acceptance of the Plan from any creditor prior to  
14 sending the Solicitation Packages that contained the Court-approved Disclosure  
15 Statement. *See* Voting Decl. at ¶¶ 5-8.

16 **3. *The Debtors Complied With the Plan Acceptance Requirements of***  
17 ***§ 1126.***

18 Section 1126 provides that only holders of claims and equity interests in  
19 impaired classes that will receive or retain property under a plan on account of such  
20 claims or equity interests may vote to accept or reject a plan. 11 U.S.C. § 1126.

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 Sections 1126(c) and (d) specify the requirements for acceptance of a plan by a class  
2 of claims. Specifically, § 1126(c) provides:

3 A class of claims has accepted a plan if such plan has been accepted by  
4 creditors, other than any entity designated under subsection (e) of  
5 [section 1126], that hold at least two-thirds in amount and more than  
6 one-half in number of the allowed claims of such class held by  
7 creditors, other than any entity designated under subsection (e) of  
8 [section 1126], that have accepted or rejected such plan.

6 *Id.*

7 Class 1 is Unimpaired under the Plan. Pursuant to § 1126(f), holders of Claims  
8 in the Unimpaired Classes are not entitled to vote on the Plan and are conclusively  
9 deemed to have accepted the Plan.

10 The Plan Proponents solicited votes on the Plan from the Voting Classes—that  
11 is, the holders of all Allowed Claims in each Impaired Class entitled to receive  
12 distributions under the Plan: Classes 2A through 4A. As noted above, the Voting  
13 Deadline occurred on December 4, 2020, at 4:00 p.m. (Pacific Time), and the Voting  
14 Declaration details the results of the voting process in accordance with § 1126, in  
15 which the Plan was overwhelmingly supported by the holders of Claims in each  
16 Voting Class that returned ballots on the Plan. Based on the foregoing, the Plan  
17 Proponents' solicitation of votes on the Plan was undertaken in conformity with §  
18 1126 and the Disclosure Statement Order.

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 **C. The Plan Has Been Proposed in Good Faith and Not by Any Means**  
2 **Forbidden by Law (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(3)).**

3 Section 1129(a)(3) provides that a court may confirm a plan only if the plan is  
4 proposed “in good faith and not by any means forbidden by law.” The Ninth Circuit  
5 defined that standard in the case of *In re Sylmar Plaza, L.P.*, 314 F.3d 1070 (9th Cir.  
6 2002), by holding that “a plan is proposed in good faith where it achieves a result  
7 consistent with the objectives and purposes of the Code.” *Id.* at 1074; *accord Ryan*  
8 *v. Loui (In re Corey)*, 892 F.2d 829, 835 (9th Cir. 1989); *In re Madison Hotel Assocs.*,  
9 749 F.2d 410, 425 (7th Cir. 1984). The Ninth Circuit in *Sylmar Plaza* further held  
10 that “the requisite good faith determination is based on the totality of the  
11 circumstances.” *Id.* at 1074; *accord Stolrow v. Stolrow’s, Inc. (In re Stolrow’s, Inc.)*,  
12 84 B.R. 167, 172 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1988).

13 Good faith for purposes of § 1129(a)(3) may be found where the plan is  
14 supported by key creditor constituencies, or was the result of extensive arm’s-length  
15 negotiations with creditors. *See In re Chemtura Corp.*, 439 B.R. 561, 608-09 (Bankr.  
16 S.D.N.Y. 2010) (finding good faith requirement met because, among other things,  
17 the debtor negotiated and reached agreements with several parties-in-interest to put  
18 forward a chapter 11 plan which “in the aggregate demonstrate a good faith effort on  
19 the part of the debtor to consider the needs and concerns of all major constituencies  
20 in this case”) (quotation marks and citation omitted); *In re Leslie Fay Cos.*, 207 B.R.  
21 764, 781 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1997) (“The fact that the plan is proposed by the

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 committee as well as the debtors is strong evidence that the plan is proposed in good  
2 faith.”); *In re Eagle-Picher Indus., Inc.*, 203 B.R. 256, 274 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 1996)  
3 (finding that chapter 11 plan was proposed in good faith when, among other things,  
4 it was based on extensive arm’s-length negotiations among plan proponents and other  
5 parties-in interest).

6 Here, the Plan is the product of months of extensive arm’s-length independent  
7 and interrelated negotiations and compromises among the Debtors and its major  
8 constituents, namely the Committee, and the Lapis Parties. These negotiations were  
9 difficult and addressed complex legal and factual issues. These compromises  
10 provided for Allowed Administrative and Priority Claims to be paid in full under the  
11 Plan on or soon after the Effective Date or as otherwise agreed to by holders of such  
12 Claims and for a distribution to Holders of General Unsecured Claims. This  
13 facilitated the best possible recovery for all creditors under the totality of the  
14 circumstances. As a result of these compromises, the Plan has the support of each  
15 Class of Claims. The support from each of these constituencies evidences the Plan  
16 Proponents’ good faith and good intentions in proposing the Plan, and the totality of  
17 circumstances surrounding its formulation clearly promotes the purposes of the  
18 Bankruptcy Code.

19 Additionally, Bankruptcy Rule 3020(b)(2) provides that the Court may  
20 determine that a plan proponent proposed a plan in good faith and not by any means

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 forbidden by law, without receiving evidence, if no party in interest has timely  
2 objected to the plan proponent's good faith. *See* Bankruptcy Rule 3020(b)(2) ("If no  
3 objection is timely filed, the court may determine that the plan has been proposed in  
4 good faith and not by any means forbidden by law without receiving evidence on  
5 such issue."); *see also In re Warren*, 89 B.R. 87, 91 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1988) ("Rule  
6 3020(b)(2) states that without objection the court "may" find that the plan was filed  
7 in good faith without receiving evidence."). No party has objected to the good faith  
8 of the Plan Proponents in proposing the Plan.<sup>5</sup> The Plan Proponents therefore submit  
9 that the requirements of § 1129(a)(3) have been satisfied.

10 **D. The Plan Provides for Bankruptcy Court Approval of Certain**  
11 **Administrative Payments (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(4)).**

12 Section 1129(a)(4) requires that certain professional fees and expenses paid by  
13 the plan proponent, by the debtor, or by a person issuing securities or acquiring  
14 property under a plan, be subject to Court approval as reasonable. *See, e.g., In re*

15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16 <sup>5</sup> The Plan Proponents note that the limited objection filed by Premier, Inc. [Docket  
17 No. 2066] asserts that if the effective date of rejection of Executory Contracts is not  
18 the Effective Date under the Plan but another undisclosed date, then the Plan is not  
19 proposed in good faith. Premier Objection, pp. 4-5. As discussed below, the Debtors  
20 have resolved this objection and clarified that the effective date of rejection of  
21 Executory Contracts is, in fact, the Effective Date of the Plan.

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT**  
**OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 *Worldcom, Inc.*, 2003 WL 23861928, at \*53-54 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Oct. 31, 2003); *In*  
2 *re Drexel Burnham Lambert Grp., Inc.*, 138 B.R. 723, 760 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1992);  
3 *In re Elsinore Shore Assocs.*, 91 B.R. 238, 268 (Bankr. D. N.J. 1988) (holding that  
4 requirements of section 1129(a)(4) were satisfied where plan provided for payment  
5 of only “allowed” administrative expenses). Here, the Plan mandates that all  
6 payments (except for ordinary course payments on account of Administrative Claims  
7 and DIP Claims) made by the Debtors for services, costs, or expenses in connection  
8 with these Chapter 11 Cases before the Effective Date, including all Professional Fee  
9 Claims, must be approved by, or are subject to the approval of, the Bankruptcy Court  
10 as reasonable. *See* Plan §§ II.D.1, II.D.2.

11 The Plan makes clear that such Professional Fee Claims are contingent on  
12 Bankruptcy Court approval and sets forth a procedure for Holders of Professional  
13 Fee Claims to submit applications for allowance of compensation for services  
14 rendered and reimbursement of expenses with the Bankruptcy Court.

15 [U]pon Court approval of such final application, [Holders of  
16 Professional Fee Claims] shall receive, in full satisfaction, settlement,  
17 and release of, and in exchange for such Claim, from the Administrative  
18 and Priority Claims Reserve, Cash in such amounts as allowed by the  
19 Court (i) on the later of (A) the Effective Date (or as soon thereafter as  
20 reasonably practicable) and (B) the date that is ten (10) days after the  
21 allowance date, or (ii) upon such other terms as may be mutually agreed  
upon between the holder of such Claim and the Plan Proponents, and  
consistent with the terms of the Definitive Documents.

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 Plan § II.D.2. Pursuant to the Plan, professionals asserting a Professional Fee Claim  
2 for services rendered before the Effective Date must file a request for final allowance  
3 of such Professional Claim no later than forty-five (45) days after the Effective Date.  
4 In addition, Section VI.2 of the Plan provides that the Bankruptcy Court will retain  
5 jurisdiction after the Effective Date to hear and determine all applications for  
6 allowance of compensation or reimbursement of expenses authorized pursuant to the  
7 Bankruptcy Code or the Plan. Accordingly, the Plan complies with the requirements  
8 of § 1129(a)(4).

9 **E. Post-Effective Date Directors and Officers Are Disclosed and Their  
10 Appointment Is Consistent with Public Policy (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(5)).**

11 Section 1129(a)(5)(A)(i) provides that a court may confirm a plan only if the  
12 plan proponent discloses “the identity and affiliations of any individual proposed to  
13 serve, after confirmation of the plan, as a director, officer of voting trustee of the  
14 debtor . . . or a successor to the Debtor under the plan.” Section 1129(a)(5)(A)(ii)  
15 requires that the appointment to, or continuance of a director, officer or voting trustee  
16 be “consistent with the best interests of creditors and equity holders and with public  
17 policy.” *In re Produce Hawaii, Inc.*, 41 B.R. 301, 304 (Bankr. D. Haw. 1984); *In re*  
18 *Parks Lumber Co., Inc.*, 19 B.R. 285, 291 (Bankr. W.D. La. 1982). Section  
19 1129(a)(5)(B) provides that a court may confirm a plan only if the plan proponent  
20 discloses “the identity of any insider that will be employed or retained by the  
21 reorganized debtor, and the nature of any compensation for such insider.”

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 Section III.I of the Plan provides that the Reorganized Debtors will provide  
2 management for the Hospitals after the Effective Date. AH Systems will serve as the  
3 sole member of the Reorganized Debtors and it is expected that all AHM employees  
4 currently serving as officers or employees of the Debtors will be offered employment  
5 by AH System. Further, the Debtors filed a Plan Supplement which identified the  
6 new directors for the Reorganized Debtors as: Maureen Ames Spivack, Kimberly  
7 Anne Clift, Debbie Jo Ahl, and Jim Hansen. [Docket No. 2043, Exhibit C].  
8 Accordingly, the Plan complies with the requirements of § 1129(a)(5).

9 **F. The Plan Does Not Require Governmental Regulatory Approval of Rate  
10 Changes (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(6)).**

11 Section 1129(a)(6) permits confirmation of a chapter 11 plan only if any  
12 regulatory commission that will have jurisdiction over the debtor after confirmation  
13 has approved any rate change provided for in the plan. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(6).  
14 Section 1129(a)(6) is inapplicable here because the Plan does not provide for any rate  
15 changes.

16 **G. The Plan Is in the Best Interests of Creditors and Interest Holders (11  
17 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(7)).**

18 The “best interests of creditors” test of § 1129(a)(7) requires that, with respect  
19 to each impaired class of claims or interests, each individual holder of a claim or  
20 interest has either accepted the plan or will receive or retain property having a present  
21 value, as of the effective date of the plan, of not less than what such holder would

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 receive if the debtor were liquidated under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code at that  
2 time. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(7).

3 The Plan Proponents have satisfied the Best Interest Test with respect to  
4 Classes 2A, 2B, 2C, 3, and 4 because such Classes have unanimously voted to accept  
5 the Plan. *See, supra*, Section II.D (setting forth the vote tabulation); *see* 11 U.S.C. §  
6 1129(a)(7)(i) (providing that the Best Interest Test is satisfied when, “[w]ith respect  
7 to each impaired class of claims or interests[,] each holder of a claim or interest of  
8 such class has accepted the plan.”).

9 Further, all creditors will receive more under the Plan than if the case were  
10 converted to chapter 7. Generally, in a chapter 7 case, (i) the debtor’s assets are sold  
11 by a chapter 7 trustee, (ii) secured creditors are paid first from the sales proceeds of  
12 properties on which the secured creditor has a lien, (iii) administrative claims are paid  
13 thereafter, (iv) unsecured creditors are paid after administrative claims from any  
14 remaining sales proceeds, according to their rights to priority, (v) unsecured creditors  
15 with the same priority share in proportion to the amount of their allowed claim in  
16 relationship to the amount of total allowed unsecured claims, and (vi) finally, interest  
17 holders receive the balance that remains after all creditors are paid, if any.

18 Here, in the event of a conversion of the Chapter 11 Cases to chapter 7, one or  
19 more chapter 7 trustees would be appointed to administer the Debtors’ assets. Such  
20 chapter 7 trustee(s) would be completely unfamiliar with the vast complexities of

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 these Chapter 11 Cases and would be under a statutory duty to liquidate the Debtors’  
2 assets as expeditiously as possible. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 704(a)(1).

3 A chapter 7 trustee’s liquidation of the Debtors’ assets would present  
4 significant potential risks to creditor recoveries in chapter 7. The Plan contemplates  
5 the Reorganized Debtors’ continued operation following the Effective Date; the  
6 repayment of the Lapis Parties’ Senior Secured Bond Debt, Senior Secured Credit  
7 Agreement Exchange Debt, and DIP Claims Exchange Debt over time; and  
8 significant contributions to the GUC Distribution Trust for the benefit of General  
9 Unsecured Creditors. If the Debtors cease operations in a hypothetical chapter 7 case  
10 and their assets are liquidated, the proceeds of those sales would be used to pay off  
11 the Lapis Parties’ secured claims with no remaining assets available to make any  
12 distribution to General Unsecured Creditors. This is reflected in the Liquidation  
13 Analysis attached to the Disclosure Statement as Exhibit A.

14 Following the appointment of a chapter 7 trustee, the chapter 7 trustee would  
15 presumably hire new professionals who would be equally unfamiliar with the vast  
16 complexities of these Chapter 11 Cases. If a chapter 7 trustee is authorized to  
17 continue operating the Debtors, the chapter 7 trustee would likely retain healthcare  
18 operations advisors to assist in the management of the Debtors’ hospitals. A change  
19 in management of the Debtors, alone, would represent a monumental task for the  
20 chapter 7 trustee and professionals, and would require quick familiarization with

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 hospital operations, receivables, and the Debtors’ ongoing litigation, among a litany  
2 of other historically complex issues. Regardless of whether a chapter 7 trustee elects  
3 to continue operations, a chapter 7 trustee would likely retain attorneys, financial  
4 advisors, and other professionals to engage in the complicated process of liquidating  
5 the Debtors’ assets and providing distributions to creditors. The Debtors anticipate  
6 that this process would be lengthy and costly given the Debtors’ complex structure  
7 and liabilities, particularly without the more streamlined deemed consolidation of the  
8 Debtors’ assets and liabilities proposed under the Plan.

9 The result of a chapter 7 trustee’s appointment and employment of a  
10 substantial number of professionals unfamiliar with these complex Chapter 11 Cases  
11 would be the incurrence of an extraordinary amount of additional professional fees.  
12 By contrast, the Debtors’ professionals are skilled and already intimately familiar  
13 with these Chapter 11 Cases, continuing with their current roles. Through the  
14 significant cost savings of the confirmed Plan as compared to conversion to chapter  
15 7, Holders of Allowed Claims will receive more under the Plan than they would  
16 receive in a converted chapter 7 bankruptcy (and certainly at least as much under the  
17 Plan). As discussed in more detail in the Liquidation Analysis attached as Exhibit A  
18 to the Disclosure Statement, the Debtors have satisfied the “Best Interest Test.”  
19  
20  
21

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 Accordingly, § 1129(a)(7) is satisfied because the Plan provides fair and equitable  
2 treatment of all classes of creditors and the greatest feasible recovery to all creditors.<sup>6</sup>

3 **H. The Plan Complies With Statutorily Mandated Payment of Priority  
4 Claims (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9)).**

5 Section 1129(a)(9) requires that persons holding allowed claims entitled to  
6 priority under § 507(a) receive specified cash payments under the Plan. Unless the  
7 holder of a particular claim agrees to a different treatment with respect to such claim,  
8 § 1129(a)(9) sets forth the treatment the Plan must provide. Under Section II.D.1 of  
9 the Plan, holders of Allowed Administrative Claims under § 503(b) shall receive  
10 Cash in full and final satisfaction of their Allowed Administrative Claims on the  
11 Effective Date or as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter, except to the extent  
12 the Debtors or the Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, and a holder of an Allowed  
13 Administrative Claim against a Debtor agree to less favorable treatment of such  
14 Allowed Administrative Claim. *See* Plan § II.D.1.d. Consequently, the Plan  
15 Proponents submit that § 1129(a)(9) is satisfied because the Plan provides for the  
16 payment of all Allowed Administrative Claims on the Effective Date, except to the  
17 extent the Holder of such Claim has agreed to different treatment.

18  
19 <sup>6</sup> The Debtors (though not the Lapis Parties) also reserve all rights to assert that this  
20 test does not or should not apply in the circumstances presented by the Plan.

1 Further, the Plan contemplates the establishment of the Administrative and  
2 Priority Claims Reserve. *See id.* § II.D.4. Pursuant to Section II.D.4 of the Plan, the  
3 Debtors request that the Bankruptcy Court establish the Administrative and Priority  
4 Claims Reserve in the amount of approximately \$4,624,674 (the Administrative,  
5 Professional and Priority Claims Cap). The Debtors have proposed to reserve the  
6 full face amount of the majority of asserted Administrative Claims that will not be  
7 Allowed on the Effective Date, in accordance with Section III.K. *See Lane Decl.* at  
8 ¶ 10. Many of these fully reserved Administrative Claims represent claims the  
9 Debtors already pay in the ordinary course of business. *Id.* The proposed  
10 Administrative and Priority Claims Reserve further reserves for the remaining  
11 handful of Disputed Administrative Claims not Allowed on the Petition Date—just  
12 not for the full face amount of the asserted Disputed Administrative Claim. *Id.*  
13 Consequently, the Debtors submit that the Administrative and Priority Claims  
14 Reserve is sufficient, under the circumstances. *See Plan* § III.K; *see also Lane Decl.*  
15 at ¶ 10.

16 Pursuant to Section II.E.1 of the Plan, all Allowed Priority Claims under  
17 § 507(a), unless otherwise agreed, shall receive payment in Cash in an amount equal  
18 to the amount of such Allowed Claim, payable on the Effective Date (or as soon as  
19 practicable thereafter) equal to the allowed amount of such Claim, unless the Class  
20 votes to accept deferred Cash payments of a value, as of the Effective Date, equal to

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 the allowed amount of such Claims. The Plan also satisfies the requirements of  
2 § 1129(a)(9)(C) in respect of the treatment of Priority Tax Claims under § 507(a)(8).  
3 Pursuant to Section II.D.3 of the Plan and except as otherwise may be agreed, holders  
4 of Allowed Priority Tax Claims

5 shall be paid in full in Cash from the Administrative and Priority Claims  
6 Reserve (a) on the later of the Effective Date or the date such Claim is  
7 allowed, (b) after the Effective Date, over a period not to exceed five  
8 years from the date of assessment of the subject tax, together with  
9 interest thereon at a rate satisfactory to the Debtors or such other rate  
as may be required by the Bankruptcy Code, or (c) upon such other  
terms as may be mutually agreed upon between the holder of such  
Claim and the Plan Proponents, and consistent with the terms of the  
Definitive Documents.

10 Based upon the foregoing, the Plan satisfies the requirements of § 1129(a)(9).

11 **I. Each Impaired Class of Claims That Returned a Ballot Has Accepted the  
12 Plan, Excluding the Acceptances of Insiders (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(10)).**

13 Section 1129(a)(10) provides that, if a class of claims is impaired under a plan,  
14 at least one impaired class of claims must accept the plan, excluding acceptance by  
15 any insider. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(10); *see also In re Station Casinos, Inc.*, 2011  
16 WL 6012089, at ¶ 118 (Bankr. D. Nev. July 28, 2011) (“The bankruptcy courts that  
17 have expressly considered the matter have uniformly held that compliance with  
18 Section 1129(a)(10) is tested on a per-plan basis, not on a per-debtor basis, and that  
19 Section 1129(a)(10) therefore does not require an accepting impaired class for each  
20 debtor under a joint plan.”). As set forth above, all Voting Classes (none of which  
21 contain insiders) are impaired and each Voting Class that returned a ballot has

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 accepted the Plan (other than Class 4A, which did not vote). Therefore, the Voting  
2 Declaration confirms that the Plan satisfies § 1129(a)(10).

3 **J. The Plan Is Feasible (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(11)).**

4 Section 1129(a)(11) requires that the Court determine that the Plan is feasible  
5 as a condition precedent to confirmation. Specifically, it requires that confirmation  
6 is not likely to be followed by liquidation or the need for further financial  
7 reorganization of the Debtors or any successor to the Debtors, unless such liquidation  
8 or reorganization is proposed in the plan. As described below, the Plan is feasible  
9 within the meaning of this provision.

10 The feasibility test set forth in § 1129(a)(11) requires the Court to determine  
11 whether the Plan is workable and has a reasonable likelihood of success. *See Johns-*  
12 *Manville Corp.*, 843 F.2d at 649. The key element of feasibility is whether there is a  
13 reasonable probability that the provisions of the plan can be performed. As noted by  
14 the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit: “The purpose of section  
15 1129(a)(11) is to prevent confirmation of visionary schemes which promise creditors  
16 and equity security holders more under a proposed plan than the Debtors can possibly  
17 attain after confirmation.” *Pizza of Haw., Inc. v. Shakey’s, Inc. (In re Pizza of Haw.,*  
18 *Inc.)*, 761 F.2d 1374, 1382 (9th Cir. 1985) (quoting 5 COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY ¶  
19 1129.02[11] at 1129–34 (15th ed. 1984)). However, just as speculative prospects of  
20 success cannot sustain feasibility, speculative prospects of failure cannot defeat

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 feasibility, and the mere prospect of financial uncertainty cannot defeat confirmation  
2 on feasibility grounds. *See In re U.S. Truck Co.*, 47 B.R. 932, 944 (E.D. Mich. 1985),  
3 *aff'd*, 800 F.2d 581 (6th Cir. 1986).

4 As set forth herein, the uncontroverted evidence demonstrates that the Plan is  
5 feasible. As more specifically discussed below in response to certain non-  
6 meritorious Objections raised by claimants that do not hold Allowed Administrative  
7 Claims, the Plan also satisfies § 1129(a)(11) because the Plan addresses the possible  
8 effect of certain litigation. Even though the Plan is not required to provide a  
9 mechanism for addressing the claims of claimants who may subsequently recover  
10 judgments against the Debtors, the Debtors have provided more than sufficient  
11 reserves to address any such claims. *See In re RCS Capital Dev., LLC*, BAP No. AZ-  
12 12-1626-JuTaAh, 2013 WL 3619172, \*8 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. July 16, 2013)  
13 (unpublished); *In re Harbin*, 486 F.3d 510, 519 (9th Cir. 2007); *see also discussion,*  
14 *infra*. Followed to its logical conclusion, the Objectors' arguments would require  
15 debtors to reserve for 100% of the face amount of any filed request for payment  
16 regardless of allowance, *i.e.*, the worst case scenario. Such a result could preclude  
17 debtors from ever confirming a plan and is inconsistent with the requirements of the  
18 Bankruptcy Code. Consequently, as further discussed below, these claims do not  
19 render the Plan infeasible. Accordingly, the Plan satisfies the feasibility requirement  
20 set forth in § 1129(a)(11).

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 **K. The Plan Provides for the Payment of All Fees under 28 U.S.C. § 1930 (11**  
2 **U.S.C. § 1129(a)(12)).**

3 Section 1129(a)(12) requires that, as a condition precedent to the confirmation  
4 of a plan, “[a]ll fees payable under section 1930 of title 28, as determined by the court  
5 at the hearing on confirmation of the plan, have been paid or the plan provides for  
6 the payment of all such fees on the effective date of the plan.” 11 U.S.C.  
7 § 1129(a)(12). The Plan complies with § 1129(a)(12) by providing for the payment  
8 in full, in Cash, any U.S. Trustee Fees “(a) on the later of the Effective Date or the  
9 date such Claims are Allowed under § 503, or (b) upon such other terms as may be  
10 mutually agreed upon between the Holder of such Claim and the Plan Proponents,  
11 and consistent with the terms of the Definitive Documents.” See Plan §§ II.D.1.d.ii,  
12 VII.P. Quarterly fees accruing under 28 U.S.C. § 1930(a)(6) after Confirmation shall  
13 be paid by the Liquidation Trust to the U.S. Trustee in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §  
14 1930(a)(6) and the Liquidation Trust Agreement until entry of a final decree, or entry  
15 of an order of dismissal or conversion to chapter 7. Plan § VII.P. Accordingly, the  
16 Plan satisfies the requirements of § 1129(a)(12).

17 **L. The Plan Requirement for Payment of Retiree Benefits (11 U.S.C.**  
18 **§ 1129(a)(13)) Is Not Implicated.**

19 Section 1129(a)(13) provides that a court may confirm a plan only if “[t]he  
20 plan provides for the continuation after its effective date of payment of all retiree  
21 benefits . . . for the duration of the period the debtor has obligated itself to provide

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 such benefits.” *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(13). This provision is inapplicable as the  
2 Debtors will not have any ongoing retiree benefit obligations as of the Effective Date.  
3 Accordingly, the Plan satisfies the this requirement set forth in § 1129(a)(13).

4 **M. Sections 1129(a)(14) and 1129(a)(15) Do Not Apply to the Plan.**

5 Section 1129(a)(14) relates to the payment of domestic support obligations and  
6 § 1129(a)(15) applies only in cases in which the debtor is an “individual” as defined  
7 in the Bankruptcy Code. 11 U.S.C. §§ 1129(a)(14), (a)(15). Neither of these  
8 provisions applies to the Debtors. The Debtors are not subject to any domestic  
9 support obligations, and therefore, the requirements of § 1129(a)(14) do not apply.  
10 Further, none of the Debtors are an “individual” and, therefore, the requirements of  
11 § 1129(a)(15) do not apply.

12 **N. The Plan Provides That Any Transfer of Property Will Be in Compliance  
13 With Applicable Non-Bankruptcy Law, Subject to Bankruptcy Court  
Oversight (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(16)).**

14 Section 1129(a)(16) provides that applicable non-bankruptcy law will govern  
15 all transfers of property under a plan to be made by “a corporation or trust that is not  
16 a moneyed, business, or commercial corporation or trust.” The legislative history of  
17 § 1129(a)(16) demonstrates that this section was intended to “restrict the authority of  
18 a trustee to use, sell, or lease property by a nonprofit corporation or trust.” *See* H.R.  
19 REP. 109-31(I), 145, 2005 WL 832198, 121, 2005 U.S.C.C.A.N. 88, 203-04 (2005).  
20 Because, according to the legislative history of § 1129(a)(16), “[n]othing in

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 [§ 1129(a)(16)] may be construed to require the court to remand or refer any  
2 proceeding, issue, or controversy to any other court or to require the approval of any  
3 other court for the transfer of property,” *id.*, and because the Plan provides for the  
4 Bankruptcy Court’s approval of, or otherwise authorizes, any property transfers, the  
5 Plan satisfies the requirements of § 1129(a)(16).

6 **O. The Plan Provides Fair and Equitable Treatment of the Non-Accepting  
Class 4A (11 U.S.C. § 1129(b)).**

7 The Plan does not satisfy § 1129(a)(8) which requires that each class of claims  
8 or interests must either accept the plan or be unimpaired. No members of impaired  
9 Class 4A (Insured Claims) submitted a ballot accepting or rejecting the Plan. Under  
10 Ninth Circuit precedent, when no creditors in a class return a ballot, that class is  
11 deemed to have rejected the plan. *See Bell Road Inv. Co. v. M. Long Arabians (In re*  
12 *M. Long Arabians)*, 103 B.R. 211 (9th Cir. BAP 1989); *In re Real Wilson*  
13 *Enterprises, Inc.*, No. 11-15697-B-11, 2013 WL 5352697, at \*3 (Bankr. E.D. Cal.  
14 Sept. 23, 2013). Thus, Class 4A is deemed to have rejected the Plan.

15 Nevertheless, the Plan should be confirmed because its treatment of Class 4A  
16 is fair and equitable under § 1129(b). Section 1129(b) provides that a plan provides  
17 fair and equitable treatment

18 With respect to a class of unsecured claims . . . [if] the holder of any  
19 claim or interest that is junior to the claims of such class will not receive  
20 or retain under the plan on account of such junior claim or interest any  
property . . . .

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 11 U.S.C. § 1129(b)(2)(B)(ii). There are no Classes of Claims which are junior to  
2 Class 4A under the Plan. Accordingly, the Plan’s treatment of Class 4A is fair and  
3 equitable and complies with the requirements of § 1129(a)(b).

4 **P. The Principal Purpose of the Plan Is Not Avoidance of Taxes (11 U.S.C. §  
5 1129(d)).**

6 Section 1129(d) of the Bankruptcy Code states “the court may not confirm a  
7 plan if the principal purpose of the plan is the avoidance of taxes or the avoidance of  
8 the application of section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933.” The purpose of the Plan  
9 is not to avoid taxes or the application of section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933.  
10 Moreover, no holder of Priority Tax Claims has thus far raised any objection arguing  
11 that the Plan Proponents have proposed the Plan to either avoid taxes or the  
12 application of section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933, and the Plan Proponents do not  
13 anticipate any such objections will be filed, particularly as all Priority Tax Claims  
14 will be paid in full pursuant to the Plan. Moreover, the Plan Proponents are nonprofit,  
15 tax-exempt entities. The Debtors therefore submit that the Plan satisfies the  
16 requirements of § 1129(d).

17 **V. THE DISCRETIONARY CONTENTS OF THE PLAN SHOULD BE  
18 APPROVED**

19 Section 1123(b) sets forth additional provisions that may be included in a  
20 chapter 11 plan. The Plan includes certain such additional provisions. For example,  
21 the Plan proposes treatment for executory contracts and unexpired leases and seeks

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 to implement release, exculpation, and injunction provisions. *See id.* §§ IV, VII. As  
2 discussed below, each of these provisions is in the best interests of the Debtors, their  
3 estates, creditors, and other parties-in-interest in these Chapter 11 Cases.

4 **A. The Assumption or Rejection of the Executory Contracts and Unexpired  
5 Leases Under the Plan Should Be Approved.**

6 Section IV.B of the Plan provides for the rejection of all executory contracts  
7 and unexpired leases (“Executory Agreements”) that exist between the Debtors and  
8 any other person or entity prior to the Petition Date on the Effective Date except for  
9 Executory Agreements that “(i) have been assumed by order of the Court, (ii) are  
10 subject to a motion to assume pending on the Effective Date, or (iii) have been  
11 identified on a list of assumed contracts to be filed with the Court prior to the Voting  
12 Deadline, which shall be a date prior to the Effective Date of the Plan.” The Schedule  
13 of Assumed Agreements was filed prior to the Voting Deadline pursuant to the Plan.  
14 [Docket Nos. 2043, 2082].

15 Section 365(a) provides that a debtor, “subject to the court’s approval, may  
16 assume or reject any executory contract or unexpired lease.” 11 U.S.C. § 365(a).  
17 Courts routinely approve motions to assume and assign or reject executory contracts  
18 or unexpired leases upon a showing that the debtor’s decision to take such action will  
19 benefit the debtor’s estate and is an exercise of sound business judgment. *Durkin v.*  
20 *Benedor Corp. (In re G.I. Indust., Inc.)*, 204 F.3d 1276, 1282 (9th Cir. 2000) (“a  
21 bankruptcy court applies the business judgment rule to evaluate a [debtor-in-

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 possession]’s rejection decision”) (citing *NLRB v. Bildisco & Bildisco*, 465 U.S. 513,  
2 523 (1984)); see also *In re Chi-Feng Huang*, 23 B.R.798, 800 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982).  
3 The debtor’s exercise of its business judgment is entitled to deference. See *In re*  
4 *Pomona Valley Med. Grp.*, 476 F.3d 665, 670 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[I]n evaluating the  
5 rejection decision, the bankruptcy court should presume that the debtor-in-possession  
6 acted prudently, on an informed basis, in good faith, and in the honest belief that the  
7 action taken was in the best interests of the bankruptcy estate.”) (citing *Navellier v.*  
8 *Sletten*, 262 F.3d 923, 946 n. 12 (9th Cir. 2001); *FDIC v. Casterter*, 184 F.3d 1040,  
9 1043 (9th Cir.1999); *In re Chi-Feng Huang*, 23 B.R. at 801).

10 The Debtors reviewed and analyzed their Executory Agreements. In their  
11 business judgment, the Debtors have concluded that certain of their Executory  
12 Agreements should be assumed on the Effective Date because such agreements are  
13 beneficial to the Reorganized Debtors. Likewise, the Debtors have determined that  
14 it is in their best interest to reject all other Executory Agreements under the Plan as  
15 they are no longer providing a benefit to the Estates. Accordingly, for all of the  
16 foregoing reasons, the proposed assumption or rejection of Executory Agreements  
17 should be approved in connection with confirmation.

18 **B. The Plan’s Release, Injunction and Exculpation Provisions Are**  
19 **Appropriate and Should Be Approved.**

20 The Plan provides for the release of certain causes of action of the Debtors,  
21 releases by holders of Claims, and the exculpation of certain parties for their acts

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 during the Chapter 11 Cases. These provisions are proper because, among other  
2 things, they are the product of arm's-length negotiations and have been critical to  
3 obtaining the support of various constituencies for the Plan.

4 ***1. The Debtors' Releases.***

5 Pursuant to VII.F.1 of the Plan, the Debtors shall release the Released Parties

6 To the fullest extent authorized by applicable law, . . . from any and all  
7 . . . Causes of Action . . . existing or taking place prior to or on the  
8 Effective Date arising from or related in any way to the Debtors, any of  
9 the Debtors' present or former assets, the Released Parties' interests in  
10 or management of the Debtors, the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, this  
11 Chapter 11 Case, or any restructuring of Claims or interests undertaken  
12 prior to the Effective Date, including those that the Debtors, the  
13 Reorganized Debtors, the GUC Distribution Trust, or the Liquidation  
14 Trust would have been legally entitled to assert or that any Holder of a  
15 Claim against or interest in the Debtor or any other entity could have  
16 been legally entitled to assert derivatively or on behalf of the Debtors  
17 or their Estates including with respect to the Lapis Parties any challenge  
18 to Claims and rights of the Lapis Parties under the Bond Documents  
19 and Credit Agreement Documents; *provided, however*, that the  
20 foregoing "Debtors' Releases" shall not operate to waive or release any  
21 Claims or Causes of Action of the Debtors or their Estates against a  
Released Party arising under any contractual obligation owed to the  
Debtors that is entered into or assumed pursuant to the Plan.

15 *Id.* (the "Debtor Releases").

16 It is well-established that debtors are authorized to settle or release their claims  
17 in a chapter 11 plan. *See In re Pac. Gas & Elec.*, 304 B.R. 395, 416 (Bankr. N.D.  
18 Cal. 2004) ("Given that section 1123(b)(3)(A) permits a plan of reorganization to  
19 include settlements, and given the overwhelming votes in favor of the Plan, such  
20 review [under Rule 9019] might be unnecessary. Nevertheless . . . [t]he court will

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 discuss the releases as if Rule 9019 governs.”); *In re Aina Le’a, Inc.*, No. BR 17-  
2 00611, 2019 WL 2274909, at \*12 (Bankr. D. Haw. May 24, 2019) (“The releases of  
3 Claims and Rights of Action by the Debtor described herein and in the Plan, in  
4 accordance with section 1123(b) of the Bankruptcy Code (the ‘Debtor’s Release’),  
5 represent a valid exercise of the Debtor’s business judgment under Bankruptcy Rule  
6 9019.”). Section 1123(b)(3)(A) specifically provides that a chapter 11 plan may  
7 provide for “the settlement or adjustment of any claim or interest belonging to the  
8 debtor or to the estate.” 11 U.S.C. § 1123(b)(3)(A). A plan that proposes to release  
9 a claim or cause of action belonging to a debtor is considered a “settlement” for  
10 purposes of satisfying § 1123(b)(3)(A). Settlements pursuant to a plan are generally  
11 subject to the same “reasonable business judgment” standard applied to settlements  
12 under Bankruptcy Rule 9019. *See WCI Cable, Inc.*, 282 B.R. at 469 (evaluating a  
13 settlement pursuant to § 1123(b) under the factors applicable to settlements under  
14 Bankruptcy Rule 9019 set forth in *In re A & C Properties*).

15 First, the Plan Proponents are not aware of any other colorable Estate claims  
16 or causes of action that may exist against any of the Released Parties. Therefore, it  
17 is not possible to place any probability of success on such litigation given that no  
18 viable litigation has even been identified.

19 Second, the Debtor Releases have the support of every major creditor  
20 constituent in these Chapter 11 Cases. The Lapis Parties are co-Plan Proponents and

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 the Committee supports the Plan, including the Debtor Releases. The Plan reflects  
2 the settlement and resolution of numerous complex issues, and the Debtor Releases  
3 are an integral part of the consideration to be provided in exchange for the  
4 compromises and resolutions embodied in the Plan. Further, each Voting Class that  
5 returned a ballot on the Plan has overwhelmingly voted to accept the Plan, including  
6 the Debtor Releases set forth therein.

7 Third, the Debtor Releases are in the best interests of the Debtors' creditors.  
8 In the absence of any viable claims against any of the Released Parties, pursuing  
9 claims against the Released Parties would be a costly and futile exercise that would  
10 only distract the Reorganized Debtors' management of the business. The Debtor  
11 Releases will eliminate the potential for post-effective date litigation against Board  
12 Trustees that could directly and indirectly threaten the Reorganized Debtors' ability  
13 to function effectively by virtue of indemnification agreements and the cost and  
14 distraction of potential third-party discovery. With respect to the Lapis Parties, the  
15 Debtor Releases were a central component of the Senior Debt 9019 Settlement. As  
16 noted, the Senior Debt 9019 Settlement is a cornerstone of the Plan and for the further  
17 reason, the Debtor Release as in the best interests of the Debtors' creditors and  
18 Estates.

19 Fourth, each of the Released Parties afforded significant value to the Debtors,  
20 played an integral role in the formulation of the Plan, and expended significant time

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 and resources analyzing and negotiating the issues involved therein and leading the  
2 Debtors through a complex chapter 11 process. For instance, the Released Parties  
3 have made significant contributions to the success of these Chapter 11 Cases,  
4 including, in certain instances, compromising their claims to reach settlements that  
5 furthered the resolution of these Chapter 11 Cases, financing the Debtors' operations  
6 during these Chapter 11 Cases, and otherwise supporting the Debtors' intensive  
7 efforts and negotiations to build near-universal consensus behind the Plan—a result  
8 which benefits all parties in interest and preserves the value-maximizing recoveries  
9 set forth in the Plan. With respect to the Lapis Parties, the Lapis Parties agreed to  
10 have their claims reinstated or extended as set forth in the Plan. The DIP Claims, in  
11 particular, would have under other circumstances been paid in full in cash on the  
12 Effective Date. The Lapis Parties also consented to the Committee Plan Settlement;  
13 absent the Committee Plan Settlement the Lapis Parties would have asserted that  
14 most or all of the consideration the settlement made available to holders of Allowed  
15 Unsecured Claims under the Plan would instead be distributed to the Lapis Parties.  
16 Also, the Board of Trustees, who serve without compensation, met frequently prior  
17 to the Petition Date and even more so during these Chapter 11 Cases to consider the  
18 Debtors' options during this period of financial distress and evaluate an outcome that  
19 would maximize value to all stakeholders. Among other things, the Board of  
20 Trustees evaluated provided intensive and thoughtful consideration in ultimately

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 approving the decision to file a chapter 11 bankruptcy petition, to obtain post-petition  
2 financing, to close and later sell ARMC and the related medical office building and  
3 to file the Plan. The Plan thus appropriately offers certain protections in the form of  
4 releases to the Released Parties that constructively participated in the Debtors'  
5 restructuring, and should be approved as fair, reasonable, and equitable. Further, as  
6 explained below, the releases are permissible under § 524(e) because they do not  
7 effectuate a release of debts on which the Released Parties are co-liable with the  
8 Debtors. *See Blixseth v. Credit Suisse*, 961 F.3d 1074, 1081-84 (9th Cir. 2020).  
9 Accordingly, the Released Parties are entitled to the releases set forth in the Plan,  
10 pursuant to § 1123(a)(2)(A).

11 Fifth, the Debtor Releases are similar in scope to those which have been  
12 approved by other courts in the Ninth Circuit. *See, e.g., In re FirstFed Fin. Corp.*,  
13 No. 2:10-bk-12927-ER, Docket No. 514 at 9 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2012)  
14 (approving debtor releases); *In re Verity Health System of California, Inc.*, No. 2:18-  
15 bk-20151-ER, Docket No. 5504 at 24-27 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2012)  
16 (approving debtor releases). The Plan Proponents therefore submit that the Debtor  
17 Releases are consistent with applicable law, represent a valid settlement of whatever  
18 Claims the Debtors may have against the Released Parties pursuant to §  
19 1123(b)(3)(A), represent a valid exercise of the Debtors' business judgment, and  
20 should be approved.

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

**2. Third Party Releases.**

Pursuant to VII.F.2 of the Plan, the Releasing Parties shall release the Released Parties:

from any and all actions, claims, interests, obligations, rights, suits, damages, causes of action, remedies and liabilities whatsoever, including any derivative claims asserted on, behalf of the Debtor, whether known or unknown, foreseen or unforeseen, matured or unmatured, existing or hereafter arising, in law, equity, contract, tort or otherwise, that such Holder (whether individually or collectively) ever had, now has or hereafter can, shall or may have, based on or relating to, or in any manner arising from or related in any way to the Debtors, any of the Debtors' present or former assets, the Released Parties' interests in or management of the Debtors, the business or contractual arrangements between the Debtors and any Released Party, the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, these Chapter 11 Cases, or any restructuring of claims or interests undertaken prior to the Effective Date, including those that the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, the GUC Distribution Trust, or the Liquidation Trust would have been legally entitled to assert or that any Holder of a Claim against or interest in the Debtors or any other entity could have been legally entitled to assert derivatively or on behalf of the Debtors or their Estates, except for (I) any Claims and Causes of Action for actual fraud, gross negligence or willful misconduct and (ii) the right to receive distributions from the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, the GUC Distribution Trust, or the Liquidation Trust on account of an allowed claim against the Debtors pursuant to the Plan.

*Id.* (the "Third Party Releases").

As discussed, the Plan Proponents are not aware of any other colorable Estate claims or causes of action that may exist against any of the Released Parties. Also, the Third Party Releases have the support of every major creditor constituent in these Chapter 11 Cases. Parties which voted in favor of the Plan also had the option to opt

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 out of these Third Party Releases such that they would not be considered Releasing  
2 Parties. Parties which rejected the Plan were automatically deemed to have opted out  
3 of the Third Party Releases.

4 The Third Party Releases should be approved as they are in line with other  
5 nondebtor releases approved by Ninth Circuit precedent. The Ninth Circuit's recent  
6 decision in *Blixseth*, 961 F.3d 1074, clarifies its prior decision, *In re Lowenschuss*,  
7 67 F.3d 1394 (9th Cir. 1995), and explains that the plain language of § 524(e) does  
8 not prohibit nondebtor releases of any kind.

9 Section 524(e) provides as follows:

10 Except as provided in subsection (a)(3) of this section, discharge of a  
11 debt of the debtor does not affect the liability of any other entity on, or  
the property of any other entity for, such debt.

12 The Ninth Circuit's early interpretation of § 524(e) recognized that, “[g]enerally,  
13 discharge of the principal debtor in bankruptcy will not discharge *the liabilities of*  
14 *codebtors or guarantors.*” *Underhill v. Royal*, 769 F.2d 1426, 1432 (9th Cir. 1985)  
15 (emphasis added). The Ninth Circuit and the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel for the  
16 Ninth Circuit generally conformed to this interpretation—that § 524(e) precludes a  
17 debtor's discharge from affecting the liability of a codebtor or guarantor on “such  
18 debt.” *See, e.g., Am. Hardwoods, Inc. v. Deutsche Credit Corp. (In re Am.*  
19 *Hardwoods, Inc.)*, 885 F.2d 621, 625 (9th Cir. 1989) (affirming bankruptcy court  
20 finding that it lacked the power to permanently enjoin creditor from enforcing state

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 court judgment against nondebtor guarantors); *Sun Valley Newspapers, Inc. v. Sun*  
2 *World Corp. (In re Sun Valley Newspapers, Inc.)*, 171 B.R. 71, 77 (B.A.P. 9th Cir.  
3 1994) (holding reorganization plans which proposed to release non-debtor guarantors  
4 violated § 524(e) and were therefore unconfirmable); *Seaport Automotive*  
5 *Warehouse, Inc. v. Rohnert Park Auto Parts, Inc. (In re Rohnert Park Auto Parts,*  
6 *Inc.)*, 113 B.R. 610, 614-17 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1990) (finding that a reorganization plan  
7 provision which enjoined creditors from proceeding against co-debtors violated  
8 § 524(e)).

9 However, *Lowenschuss* indicated that the limitations previously suggested  
10 with respect to § 524(e) are not so narrow. *See* 67 F.3d 1394. There, the Ninth  
11 Circuit denied approval of a “Global Release Provision” in a plan, which “broadly  
12 released the debtor and connected persons or entities . . . from all claims” rather than  
13 co-liabilities or guarantees, as inconsistent with § 524(e). *See id.* at 1401 (citing *Am.*  
14 *Hardwoods, Inc.*, 885 F.2d 621; *Underhill*, 769 F.2d 1426).

15 More recently in *Blixseth*, 961 F.3d at 1082, the Ninth Circuit reevaluated the  
16 sweep of § 524(e) and in doing so, it recognized the limitation of *Lowenschuss* and  
17 the appropriate application of § 524(e). There, the Ninth Circuit considered an  
18 exculpation clause that provided an exculpation for nondebtor plan proponents. *See*  
19 *Blixseth*, 961 F.3d at 1082. The Ninth Circuit reviewed the plain language of § 524(e)  
20 and observed that “[b]y its terms, § 524(e) prevents a bankruptcy court from

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 extinguishing claims of creditors against non-debtors *over the very debt discharged*  
2 *through the bankruptcy proceedings.*” *Id.* (citing *In re PWS Holding Corp.*, 228 F.3d  
3 224, 245-46 (3d Cir. 2000)) (emphasis added). The Ninth Circuit reasoned

4 [t]hat § 524(e) confines the debt that may be discharged to the “debt of  
5 the debtor”—and not the obligations of third parties for that debt—  
6 conforms to the basic fact that “a discharge in bankruptcy does not  
7 extinguish the debt itself but merely releases the debtor from personal  
8 liability . . . . The debt still exists, however, and can be collected from  
9 any other entity that may be liable.

10 *Id.* (quoting *Landsing Diversified Props.-II v. First Nat’l Bank & Tr. Co. of Tulsa (In*  
11 *re W. Real Estate Fund)*, 922 F.2d 592, 600 (10th Cir. 1990)). The Ninth Circuit  
12 further recounted its prior observation, in *Underhill*, of the legislative history that  
13 “[t]he emphasis on the liability of co-debtors and guarantors, but not creditors or  
14 other third parties, indicates the intended scope of Section 16 and, by extension,  
15 § 524(e).” *See id.* at 1083 (citing *Underhill v. Royal*, 769 F.2d at 1432).

16 The Ninth Circuit reconciled the language in its prior holdings with the plain  
17 meaning of § 524(e) and concluded that

18 the breadth of the coverage—the “Global Release” in *Lowenschuss*; the  
19 permanent injunction in *American Hardwoods*; and the “all claims”  
20 exculpation in *Underhill*—would have affected the ability of creditors  
21 to make claims against third parties, including guarantors and co-  
22 debtors, *for the debtor’s discharged debt.*

23 *Id.* at 1084 (emphasis added).

24 The Plan does not intend to release co-liabilities precluded by § 524(e) and,  
25 thus, is not in violation of law. As explained *supra* with respect to the Debtor

26 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
27 OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 Releases, the Plan merely seeks to provide the Released Parties — parties who have  
2 each made significant contributions to the success of these Chapter 11 Cases, with  
3 appropriate exculpations and releases. Such contributions alone justify such relief.  
4 Moreover, the Third Party Releases are necessary preconditions to the Lapis Parties  
5 and the Committee compromising their claims to reach settlements that furthered the  
6 resolution of these Chapter 11 Cases. Thus, it is evident that the Third Party Releases  
7 provide a necessary benefit to the Estates because such exculpations and releases are  
8 integral component to the Plan that maximizes creditor recoveries in these Chapter  
9 11 Cases. The Third Party Releases will not release any guarantee or co-liability of  
10 the Released Parties on a debt otherwise treated under the Plan. Accordingly, the  
11 Third Party Releases are consistent with § 524(e).

12 Moreover, the Third Party Releases are similar in scope to those approved by  
13 other courts in in the Ninth Circuit. *See, e.g., In re PG & E Corp.*, 617 B.R. 671, 683  
14 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2020) (approving third party releases). Accordingly, the Plan  
15 Proponents submit that the Third Party Releases are consistent with applicable law,  
16 represent a valid settlement of whatever Claims the Debtors may have against the  
17 Released Parties pursuant to § 1123(b)(3)(A), represent a valid exercise of the  
18 Debtors’ business judgment, and should be approved.

19  
20  
21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1           **3.     *The Injunctions.***

2           Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes a bankruptcy court to “issue  
3 any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the  
4 provisions of [title 11].” 11 U.S.C. § 105(a). The Court may issue an injunction in  
5 connection with plan confirmation in furtherance of a settlement or in the interest of  
6 the Debtors’ estates. *See WCI Cable, Inc.*, 282 B.R. at 469 (“Section 105(a) can be  
7 used with respect to the injunction provisions of the WCI Plan only to the extent  
8 necessary and appropriate to carry out the terms of an approved settlement.”) (citing  
9 *In re Dow Corning Corp.*, 255 B.R. 445, 478 (E.D. Mich. 2000)); *see also In re*  
10 *Rohnert Park Auto Parts, Inc.*, 113 B.R. 610, 615 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1990) (“[S]ection  
11 105 permits the court to issue both preliminary and permanent injunctions after  
12 confirmation of a plan to protect the debtor and the administration of the bankruptcy  
13 estate[.]”). The equities favor imposition of the injunctive provisions of the Plan  
14 because, among other things, the Plan presents the best possible recovery to creditors  
15 (as evidenced by the overwhelming votes in support of the Plan) and the injunctions  
16 are necessary components to the Senior Debt 9019 Settlement and the Committee  
17 Plan Settlement which form the cornerstones of the Plan.

18           **4.     *The Exculpation.***

19           Exculpation of estate fiduciaries and Plan Proponents is customary and  
20 permissible in chapter 11. Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has approved exculpation

21           **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 provisions that extend to plan proponents, including non-debtor plan proponents. *See*  
2 *Blixseth v. Credit Suisse*, 961 F.3d 1074 (9th Cir. 2020) (approving exculpation of  
3 debtor’s largest creditor that became a plan “proponent through its direct  
4 participation in the negotiations that preceded the adoption of the Plan”); *see also In*  
5 *re Yellowstone Mountain Club, LLC*, 460 B.R. 254, 277 (Bankr. D. Mont. 2011)  
6 (approving exculpation that extended to “the Debtors, Committee [of Unsecured  
7 Creditors], Credit Suisse and CrossHarbor, who all became, in essence, plan  
8 proponents”); *In re Fraser’s Boiler Serv.*, 593 B.R. 636, 641-42 (Bankr. W.D. Wash.  
9 2018) (“it appears common among bankruptcy courts within the Ninth Circuit to  
10 allow exculpation clauses that do not include exceptions for breaches of fiduciary  
11 duty, legal malpractice, or ordinary negligence.”).

12 Plan exculpations may also extend to non-estate fiduciaries when the  
13 exculpated parties make substantial contributions to the reorganization, the  
14 exculpations are important to such parties’ participation in the reorganization efforts,  
15 and the exculpations are limited “in both scope and time” to actions related to the  
16 chapter 11 cases. *See In re Yellowstone Mountain Club*, 460 B.R. at 272; *Meritage*  
17 *Homes of Nev. Inc. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (In re S. Edge LLC)*, 478 B.R.  
18 403, 415-16 (D. Nev. 2012) (approving exculpation of third party nondebtors because  
19 exculpation “sets a standard of care to be applied in the bankruptcy proceeding” and  
20 “does not improperly release third party nondebtors”); *Lazo v. Roberts*, No. CV15-

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 7037-CAS(PJWx), 2016 WL 738273, at \*7 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 22, 2016) (“Increasingly,  
2 however, [t]he trend among bankruptcy courts [more generally] has been to confirm  
3 chapter 11 plans with express discharge or indemnification provisions for nondebtors  
4 if they meet certain tailored criteria or overall necessity. This overall trend is evident  
5 in the Ninth Circuit.”) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted); *see also In re*  
6 *Stearns Holdings, LLC*, 607 B.R. 781, 790 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2019) (holding that  
7 exculpation could extend to parties “who make a substantial contribution to a debtor’s  
8 reorganization and play an integral role in building consensus in support of a debtor’s  
9 restructuring”). Exculpation clauses also are essential in cases like this one that are  
10 heavily litigated. *See In re Yellowstone Mountain Club*, 460 B.R. at 274 (“An  
11 exculpation clause in this case was certainly advisable given the litigious posture of  
12 the parties.”).

13 The exculpation provision in the Plan appropriately excludes willful  
14 misconduct or gross negligence, and there is no requirement that breaches of  
15 professional duties be excluded from a plan exculpation provision. *See In re W.*  
16 *Asbestos Co.*, 313 B.R. 832, 846 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2003) (approving provision that  
17 “neither the Plan Proponents nor any of their agents, including their attorneys, shall  
18 be liable, *other than for willful misconduct*, with respect to any action or omission  
19 prior to the effective date in connection with the Debtors’ operations, the Plan, or the  
20 conduct of the bankruptcy case.”) (emphasis added).

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 The exculpation provision the Court upheld in *Blixseth* is particularly  
2 instructive. *See* 961 F.3d 1074. There, as here, the exculpation provision was limited  
3 both temporally and in scope to actions related to the reorganization; specifically,  
4 “any act or omission in connection with, relating to or arising out of the Chapter 11  
5 Cases, the formulation, negotiation, implementation, confirmation or consummation  
6 of this Plan, the Disclosure Statement, or any contract, instrument, release or other  
7 agreement or document entered into during the Chapter 11 Cases or otherwise created  
8 in connection with this Plan.” *Id.* at 1078-79. Furthermore, like here, the exculpation  
9 clause extended to major stakeholders, including the provider of debtor in possession  
10 financing and the largest creditor in the case, who had negotiated the plan, leading  
11 the plan to be essentially a collaborative effort, of which the exculpation was a  
12 “cornerstone.” *Id.*; *see also Yellowstone Mountain Club*, 460 B.R. at 277. The  
13 exculpation clause also similarly covered the various agents, professionals, and other  
14 related parties of the exculpated parties—specifically, “with respect to each of the  
15 foregoing Persons, each of their respective directors, officers, employees, agents . . .  
16 representatives, shareholders, partners, members, attorneys, investment bankers,  
17 restructuring consultants and financial advisors.” 460 B.R. at 267. Here, the Plan  
18 exculpation extends to the major stakeholders in this case who entered into  
19 settlements with the Debtors to allow the Plan to become effective and collaborated  
20 with the Debtors in the countless hours of negotiation that culminated in reaching

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 agreements that became the “cornerstones” of the Plan. Finally, as with the  
2 exculpation in *Blixseth*, the Plan exculpation excludes willful misconduct and gross  
3 negligence. *Compare* 961 F.3d at 1079 with Plan § VII.E. Accordingly, the  
4 Bankruptcy Court should approve the Plan’s release, injunction and exculpation  
5 provisions.

6 **VI. THE DEEMED CONSOLIDATION OF THE DEBTORS SHOULD BE**  
7 **APPROVED**

8 As set forth more fully in the Disclosure Statement, the Plan provides for the  
9 “deemed” consolidation of the Debtors for the purpose of claims and distributions.  
10 The Disclosure Statement sets forth (i) the legal requirements to establish deemed  
11 consolidation, and (ii) the factual bases supporting the Debtors’ request for deemed  
12 consolidation, which are fully incorporated herein by this reference. As set forth in  
13 the Plan, the Disclosure Statement and the Plan are deemed a motion requesting that  
14 the Bankruptcy Court approve the deemed consolidation contemplated by the Plan at  
15 the Confirmation Hearing. The Disclosure Statement provided that objections to the  
16 proposed deemed consolidation must be made in writing on or before the deadline to  
17 object to confirmation of the Plan.

18 As further set forth below, deemed consolidation of the Debtors for claim and  
19 distribution purposes is appropriate because it is acceptable to all creditors Classes  
20 (as evidenced by the favorable votes accepting the Plan). Further, and failing to  
21 provide deemed consolidation would produce a very undesired and costly result.

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 That is, it would be economically costly and time-consuming to attempt to analyze  
2 and determine which debts are owed by and against which Debtor entity, and then  
3 seek to unwind or otherwise bring intercompany actions to obtain recoveries. The  
4 cost of the analysis alone would be at the expense of recoveries to unsecured creditors  
5 in these Chapter 11 Cases. Accordingly, for the reasons set forth below and in the  
6 Disclosure Statement, the Plan Proponents respectfully request that the Bankruptcy  
7 Court approve the deemed consolidation of the Debtors.

## 8 VII. THE OBJECTIONS SHOULD BE OVERRULED

### 9 A. The Premier Objection Has Been Resolved.

10 Premier, Inc. (with its consolidated subsidiaries, including Premier Healthcare  
11 Solutions, Inc. (“PHSI”) and Healthcare Insights, LLC (“Healthcare Insights”),  
12 collectively, “Premier”) filed the *Limited Objection of Premier, Inc. and Its*  
13 *Subsidiaries to Confirmation of Debtors’ Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of*  
14 *Reorganization* [Docket No. 2066] (the “Premier Limited Objection”). The Premier  
15 Limited Objection is centered on the effective date of rejection of the Premier  
16 executory contract. The Plan Proponents have agreed with Premier that rejection of  
17 the Premier agreement will be effective on the Effective Date of the Plan, resolving  
18 this Objection.

### 19 B. The Cerner Objection Is Without Merit.

20 Cerner Corporation on behalf of itself and its affiliates (collectively, “Cerner”)

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 filed the *Objection of Cerner Corporation to Debtors’ Second Amended Joint*  
2 *Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Astria Health and its Debtor Affiliates* [Docket  
3 No. 2065] (the “Cerner Objection”).<sup>7</sup> Cerner lodged two limited, alternative  
4 objections to confirmation. One objection in the event the previously filed *Motion*  
5 *of Cerner Corporation for (1) Relief from the Automatic Stay to Allow Arbitration;*  
6 *(2) For Determination that Arbitration is Required and Should Proceed; and (3)*  
7 *Recognizing Federal Arbitration Act Stay of Further Proceedings on Objection to*  
8 *Administrative Expense Claim* [Docket No. 1995] (“Cerner Arbitration Motion”) was  
9 denied by this Court, and the other objection in the event the Cerner Arbitration  
10 Motion was granted by this Court. On December 10, 2020, this Court denied the  
11 Cerner Arbitration Motion [Docket No. 2111] (the “Cerner Arbitration Denial  
12 Order”). As such, the Cerner Objection is “limited” to Cerner’s aforementioned  
13 objection, which amounts to a “suggest[ion]” that confirmation be denied or delayed  
14  
15

16 <sup>7</sup> As an initial matter, contrary to certain assertions in the Cerner Objection, the  
17 Debtors are seeking to assume, not reject, the CBA (defined in the Cerner Objection).  
18 See Debtors’ *Motion to Assume and Reject Contracts Between the Debtors, Cerner*  
19 *Corporation and Cerner RevWorks* [Docket No. 2086] (“The Debtors seek the  
20 court’s authority to assume the CBA and to reject the RevWorks Contract. . . .”)  
21

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 pending resolution of the “Cerner Dispute” (defined in the Cerner Arbitration  
2 Motion). *See* Cerner Objection, ¶ 39.

3 Cerner’s “suggestion” that confirmation be delayed pending resolution of a  
4 dispute that it has made only a recent effort to advance towards resolution is a blatant  
5 effort to coerce the Plan Proponents to an unfavorable settlement by holding them  
6 hostage in these Chapter 11 Cases. It is also contrary to the “fundamental policy of  
7 Chapter 11 that a reorganization ‘must be accomplished quickly and efficiently.’” *In*  
8 *re Adelpia Bus. Solutions, Inc.*, 341 B.R. 415, 422-23 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2003)  
9 (*quoting Bittner v. Borne Chem. Co.*, 691 F.2d 134, 135-37 (3rd Cir. 1982)). As  
10 Cerner knows well, resolution of the Cerner Dispute may well, with discovery, take  
11 more than a year, and any judgment is almost certain to be appealed, which may take  
12 years more. Cerner’s cynical suggestion that the Court delay confirmation for years  
13 must be rejected as it “would unduly delay the administration of the case.” *Id.* at 422  
14 (citing § 502(c)). For these reasons alone the Cerner “suggestion” should be rejected  
15 by the Court.

16 During the December 10th hearing on the Cerner Arbitration Motion, Cerner  
17 orally stated that it *may* also oppose confirmation of the Plan if “cure” claims are  
18 subject to the Administrative and Priority Claims Reserve, on the basis that it will  
19 assert an alleged \$10.2 million “cure claim” with respect to assumption of the Cerner  
20 Business Agreement and the Plan does not provide a reserve for such amount. The

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 suggestion and more recent assertions are neither appropriate nor with legitimate  
2 basis.

3 First, the Debtors do not dispute that administrative expense claims, to the  
4 extent allowed as of the Effective Date are to be paid out on the Effective Date under  
5 § 1129(a)(9) (unless the holder accept alternative treatment). Here, however,  
6 Cerner’s alleged claim, whether characterized as an administrative or “cure” claim,  
7 is contingent as it is wholly dependent on the outcome of the Cerner Dispute.  
8 Moreover, it will be vigorously disputed by the Debtors. As such Cerner is not  
9 entitled to demand payment on the Effective Date on claims which are contingent  
10 and disputed, and certainly not “allowed.” 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9) (“[W]ith respect  
11 to a claim of a kind specified in section 507(a)(2) . . . , on the effective date of the  
12 plan, the holder of such claim will receive on account of such claim cash equal to the  
13 *allowed* amount of such claim.”) (emphasis added). *See In re Lisanti Foods, Inc.*,  
14 329 B.R. 491, 502-03 (D. N.J. 2005) (affirming that bankruptcy court did not err in  
15 finding plan complied with § 1129(a)(9)(A) given that objecting creditor did not yet  
16 hold an “allowed” claim as defined by the plan and therefore did “not yet have any  
17 entitlement to payment of their administrative claims unless and until the Bankruptcy  
18 Court so orders”). As a result there exists no legitimate basis to delay or deny  
19 confirmation because any administrative expense or “cure” claim held by Cerner is  
20 contingent, disputed and not allowed.

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 Even if this Court were inclined to look past the contingent nature of Cerner's  
2 alleged administrative expense claim, there is still no legitimate basis for this Court  
3 to deny or delay confirmation based upon the terms of the Plan, including any  
4 limitation on the Administrative and Priority Claims Reserve. It is well-established  
5 that bankruptcy courts have jurisdiction to estimate the claims and interests against  
6 the estates of debtors. *See In re Harbin*, 486 F.3d 510, 519 (9th Cir. 2007) ("Congress  
7 has explicitly given the bankruptcy court jurisdiction to consider questions  
8 concerning confirmation of a debtor's plan, and in doing so to estimate the various  
9 claims and interests against the debtor's estate."); *In re Tristar Fire Protection, Inc.*,  
10 466 B.R. 392 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 2012) (bankruptcy court has authority to estimate  
11 administrative claims for the purpose of plan confirmation despite NLRB's exclusive  
12 jurisdiction to adjudicate whether such claims are allowed). Indeed, any other  
13 conclusion would lead to the legally incorrect and illogical result of depriving  
14 bankruptcy courts of their ability to evaluate feasibility when a disgruntled litigant  
15 desired to block confirmation. This Court is particularly well suited to estimate the  
16 value of a Cerner Claim, particularly because the Claims and Cerner Dispute will  
17 proceed exclusively before this Court, as ruled in the Cerner Arbitration Denial  
18 Order. The Debtors submit that any such estimation should not alter the  
19 Administrative, Professional and Priority Claims Cap.

20  
21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 As the court recently ruled in another nonprofit hospital bankruptcy when a  
2 creditor with a dispute against the debtors argued for a large reserve to be required  
3 against the remote possibility it might prevail in future litigation against the debtors:

4 In assessing the feasibility of the Plan, the Court must evaluate “the  
5 possibility that a potential creditor may, following confirmation,  
6 recover a large judgment against the debtor.” *Sherman v. Harbin (In re*  
7 *Harbin)*, 486 F.3d 510, 517 (9th Cir. 2007). The Court is required to  
8 “exercise its sound discretion in considering how such litigation may  
9 affect the feasibility of any specific plan.” *Id.* Where, as here, the  
10 amount of an administrative claim has not yet been determined, the  
11 Court may estimate the amount of the claim for the purpose of  
12 determining plan feasibility. As explained by the court in *In re Adelpia*  
13 *Bus. Sols., Inc.*: “[W]hen estimating claims, Bankruptcy Courts may  
14 use whatever method is best suited to the contingencies of the case, so  
15 long as the procedure is consistent with the fundamental policy of  
16 Chapter 11 that a reorganization “must be accomplished quickly and  
17 efficiently.” *Bittner v. Borne Chemical Co.*, 691 F.2d at 135–37; *see*  
18 *also, e.g., In re Brints Cotton Mktg., Inc.*, 737 F.2d 1338, 1341 (5th  
19 Cir.1984), citing 3 *Collier on Bankruptcy* ¶ 502.03, at 502–77 (15th  
20 ed.1983). Bankruptcy Courts have employed a wide variety of methods  
21 to estimate claims, including summary trial, *In re Baldwin–United*  
*Corp.*, 55 B.R. 885, 899 (Bankr.S.D.Ohio 1985), a full-blown  
evidentiary hearing, *In re Nova Real Estate Inv. Trust*, 23 B.R. 62, 65  
(Bankr.E.D.Va.1982), and a review of pleadings and briefs followed by  
oral argument of counsel, *In re Lane*, 68 B.R. 609, 613  
(Bankr.D.Haw.1986). In so doing, courts specifically have recognized  
that it is often “inappropriate to hold time-consuming proceedings  
which would defeat the very purpose of 11 U.S.C. § 502(c)(1) to avoid  
undue delay.” 341 B.R. 415, 422–23 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2003).

18 *In re Verity Health System of California*, Case No. 2:18-bk-20151-ER, Docket No.  
19 5475 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. Aug. 12, 2020).

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 Here, the Court should consider the evidence in these Chapter 11 Cases to date  
2 to the effect that Cerner's prepetition performance (or lack of performance) was a  
3 primary cause of the filing of the Chapter 11 Cases, the objection and evidence  
4 offered in opposition to Cerner's motion for allowance of an administrative expense,  
5 *see, e.g.*, Docket Nos. 1973 and 1975 showing that Cerner caused in excess of \$150  
6 million of damages to the Debtors which would be available as an offset to any  
7 potential Cerner claims, that Cerner has not sought relief in the form of an additional  
8 reserve and has therefore forfeited and or waived that right, and that the Debtors will  
9 be operating companies with significant cash flow sufficient to pay any judgment  
10 that Cerner might someday be awarded (although the Debtors dispute the likelihood  
11 of any such judgment) to find that no reserve is required on behalf of Cerner. When  
12 considering all this evidence, the Court should estimate the value of Cerner's claim  
13 at zero for purposes of determining feasibility and with regard to what, if any, reserve  
14 the Debtors should be required to place for Cerner. *See, e.g., In re Verity, supra*,  
15 ("Having conducted such a review, the Court estimates the SGM Admin Claim to  
16 have a value of \$0. *See Harbin*, 486 F.3d at 520 n.7 (stating that the Court is not  
17 prohibited 'from valuing [the] claim at zero' as long as it 'exercise[s] its own  
18 judgement in reaching such a conclusion.').").

19 As a result of the foregoing, and the facts on record, the Debtors submit that  
20 this Court should deny the Cerner Objection.

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 **C. The United States Trustee’s Objections Have Been Addressed and**  
2 **Otherwise Should Be Overruled.**

3 The United States Trustee (the “Trustee”) filed the *Objection to Second*  
4 *Amended Plan* [Docket No. 2068] (the “Trustee Objection”). The Trustee Objection  
5 asserts that confirmation should be denied because (i) “deemed” consolidation of the  
6 Debtors is not appropriate, (ii) the Plan’s exculpation provisions are broader than  
7 Ninth Circuit authority allows, and (iii) the GUC Distribution Trust improperly limits  
8 notice with respect to a “Conflicts Trustee.” As noted below, these objections are  
9 being addressed through clarification and otherwise, should be overruled.

10 ***1. Deemed Consolidation of the Debtors Is Appropriate.***

11 The Plan provides for the “deemed” consolidation of the Debtors for the  
12 purposes of Claim allowance and distribution, which treats the Debtors’ assets and  
13 liabilities as if they were pooled without actually merging the Debtor entities. As  
14 noted above, deemed consolidation treatment of claims and assets for distribution  
15 purposes is commonplace. *See, e.g., In re Verity Health Sys. of Cal., Inc., et al.*, Case  
16 No. 2:18-bk-20151-ER (Bankr. C.D. Cal. Aug. 14, 2020) [Docket No. 5504]  
17 (confirming chapter 11 plan which deems the debtors’ assets and liabilities  
18 consolidated for plan purposes); *In re Bashas’ Inc.*, 437 B.R. 874, 928 (Bankr. D.  
19 Ariz. 2010) (consolidation of debtor assets and liabilities for plan purposes  
20 appropriate). Here, the Plan, which clearly provides for deemed consolidation, was  
21 voted on by all impaired Classes of stakeholders. Further, the Committee,

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 representing the interests of unsecured creditors in these Chapter 11 Cases, is  
2 supporting the Plan which includes these terms, based on the Committee Plan  
3 Settlement.

4 Even without such stakeholder support, deemed consolidation is appropriate.  
5 The Plan consolidates the Debtors for Plan purposes to avoid the economically costly,  
6 time consuming and potentially impractical process of determining which debts are  
7 owed by which specific Debtor entities, how the Debtors' enterprise value should be  
8 fairly allocated across the Debtor entities, and the unwinding of intercompany actions  
9 in an attempt to obtain recoveries. Any effort to allocate the value of the Debtors'  
10 healthcare system among entities would be time and resource consuming and  
11 potentially subject to numerous disputes and judgment calls. The cost and delay of  
12 this analysis alone would be at the expense of the recoveries to unsecured creditors.  
13 Ninth Circuit precedent (which is relied upon in the Trustee Objection) recognizes  
14 this basis for deemed consolidation of claims and liabilities for plan purposes. As  
15 recognized by the Trustee, the Ninth Circuit has observed that consolidation is  
16 justified where "the time and expense necessary even to attempt to unscramble them  
17 [is] so substantial as to threaten the realization of any net assets for all the creditors'  
18 or where no accurate identification and allocation of assets is possible." *Alexander*  
19 *v. Compton (In re Bonham)*, 229 F.3d 750, 766 (9th Cir. 2000) (quoting *In re*  
20 *Augie/Restivo Baking Co.*, 860 F.2d 515, 519 (2d Cir. 1988)).

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 In this case, the Debtors' finances and operations have always been and remain  
2 significantly interconnected. *See* Lane Decl., at ¶ 3. Funds have routinely flowed on  
3 an intercompany basis from stronger performing Debtors to support the weaker  
4 performing Debtors. *Id.* While vendor liabilities are reported specific to the  
5 individual hospitals, there was significantly higher liabilities at the ARMC facility as  
6 compared to the Sunnyside and Toppenish hospitals. *Id.* Many of the vendors  
7 provided goods and services to all hospitals and often linked shipments based upon  
8 aging of the accounts at all the hospitals. *Id.* Sunnyside hospital not only borrowed  
9 funds in January 2019 for vendor management but also provided significant funding  
10 from cash reserves to allow ARMC, and to a lesser extent Toppenish, to purchase  
11 goods and services. *Id.* It would be difficult if not impossible to reconcile and  
12 allocate cash funding for acquisition purposes, operations or vendor management.  
13 *Id.*

14 The Plan Proponents demonstrated these facts showing the comingling of the  
15 Debtors' assets and liabilities and the problems associated with any attempt to  
16 unwind them in connection with Disclosure Statement approval. *See Reply in*  
17 *Support of Joint Motion for an Order Approving: (I) Proposed Disclosure Statement;*  
18 *(II) Solicitation and Voting Procedures; (III) Notice and Objection Procedures for*  
19 *Confirmation of First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization; and (IV) Granting*  
20 *Related Relief* [Docket No. 1970]. The Court agreed that this objection raised by the

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 Trustee was not sufficient to warrant denial in connection with the Disclosure  
2 Statement. *See* Disclosure Statement Order, p. 4 (overruling the Trustee’s objections  
3 and approving the Disclosure Statement). Nevertheless, the Trustee has reasserted  
4 this objection in the context of Plan confirmation. Trustee Objection, p. 3 (“the  
5 corporate assets identified for each debtor, are not scrambled . . .” and therefore  
6 deemed consolidation is not appropriate.). The Trustee further asserts that “the  
7 debtors need to demonstrate how [deemed consolidation] meets Section 1129(a)(7)  
8 for each non-consenting (or not voting) member of the unsecured class vis-s-viz [sic]  
9 the debtor against whom it holds a claim.” *Id.* The Trustee’s objection, again, should  
10 be overruled.

11 Performing the Trustee’s desired analysis is not required by law or appropriate  
12 as it would defeat the purpose of deemed consolidation under the Plan -- which all  
13 Voting Classes that returned a ballot voted in favor of (other than Class 4A, which  
14 did not vote)—by causing the Debtors to incur the substantial costs of that analysis  
15 at the expense of creditors, let alone the added burden of time. The Trustee’s desired  
16 outcome is contrary to Ninth Circuit precedent which recognizes the use of deemed  
17 consolidation of debtors’ assets and liabilities for plan purposes to avoid the negative  
18 impact on creditor recoveries of separately accounting for the assets and liabilities of  
19 each distinct debtor entity. *In re Bonham*, 229 F.3d at 766. Deemed consolidation  
20

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 under the Plan is appropriate in this case and the Plan Proponents request that the  
2 Court overrule this Objection to the Plan.

3 **2. *The Exculpation Clause Is Permitted in the Ninth Circuit.***

4 The Trustee’s objection to the Plan’s exculpation provision should be  
5 overruled too. The Trustee mistakenly asserts that the Plan’s exculpation clause (the  
6 “Exculpation Clause”) is broader than what is permitted in the Ninth Circuit. Trustee  
7 Objection, pp. 3-10. As explained in Section V.B *supra*, the Exculpation Clause fits  
8 squarely within the Ninth Circuit’s analysis in *Blixseth v. Credit Suisse*, 961 F.3d  
9 1074, 1078 (9th Cir. 2020).

10 The Trustee objects on the basis that the Exculpation Clause covers “[a]cts or  
11 omissions in implementing or consummating the plan” and acts related to “any  
12 contract... created or entered into in connection with the Plan,” “not within the  
13 *Blixseth* exception.” Trustee Objection, p. 6. However, this language is not  
14 impermissible as it is language that was approved by the Ninth Circuit in *Blixseth*  
15 and, thus, should similarly be approved in this case. Similarly, the portion of the  
16 Exculpation Clause that exculpates “acts taken in connection with or in  
17 contemplation of the restructuring of the Reorganized Debtors” is consistent with the  
18 provision in *Blixseth* which exculpates “any act or omission in connection with,  
19 relating to or arising out of the Chapter 11 Cases” and should be approved. The intent  
20 of the Exculpation Clause applies to actions that occurred during the Chapter 11

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 Cases. Thus, to clarify this intent in response to the Trustee’s Objection, the Plan  
2 Proponents will amend Section VII.E of the Plan to delete the reference to  
3 “prepetition” actions.

4 Further, the notion that the Exculpated Parties, including the Debtors, the Lapis  
5 Parties, the Board Trustees, the Committee and its members, and their affiliates and  
6 related Professionals, were not closely involved in drafting the Plan which they either  
7 jointly proposed or provided support is likewise baseless. *See In re PG & E Corp.*,  
8 617 B.R. at 684 (approving exculpation under *Blixseth* that “covers a lot of players,  
9 a number of documents and a number of events and activities” where “[t]hat reach is  
10 consistent with the complexities and difficulties of these cases”). The assertion that  
11 some of the Exculpated Parties may not be considered estate fiduciaries or are  
12 professionals is not persuasive. The Ninth Circuit in *Blixseth* approved an  
13 exculpation of Credit Suisse, the debtor’s largest creditor, as well as professionals  
14 which participated in the plan approval process. 961 F.3d 1074. Here, providing  
15 exculpations to the Lapis Parties and the other Exculpated Parties is appropriate and  
16 necessary for Plan confirmation.

17 The Trustee also contends that it is improper to provide for an exculpation of  
18 acts undertaken by the GUC Distribution Trustee and Liquidation Trustee because  
19 “[p]redicting any future acts or omissions is speculative at best and how one would  
20 prejudge those acts or omissions is difficult to conceive.” Trustee Objection, p. 7.

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 As an initial matter, the Exculpation Clause is limited to acts and omissions arising  
2 prior to the Effective Date. Moreover, exculpation and limitation of liability for acts  
3 undertaken by such parties, who are essential to the Plan’s consummation and will  
4 administer trusts to be established pursuant to the terms of the settlements with the  
5 Lapis Parties and the Committee that are embodied in the Plan, with respect to their  
6 post-Effective Date actions in furtherance of the Plan is appropriate in light of  
7 complex and often contentious nature of these Chapter 11 Cases, as well as the  
8 circumstances of the Plan’s formulation. *See PG & E Corp.*, 617 B.R. at 68  
9 (overruling objections to plan exculpation covering range of parties for claims “in  
10 connection with or arising out of” a range of events and activities, including “the  
11 administration of this Plan or the property to be distributed under this Plan[,]”  
12 because the exculpation “comport[ed] with the contours of such a provision as  
13 recognized in *Blixseth*” in light of the circumstances of the case); *In re BLX Group*  
14 *Inc.*, 2011 Bankr. LEXIS 4505, \*8-18 (Bankr. D. Mont. Nov. 22, 2011) (approving  
15 exculpation and limitation of liability covering plan agent and other parties for any  
16 “act or omission in connection with, relating to, or arising out of, the Chapter 11  
17 Case, . . . the Confirmation of this Plan, the consummation of this Plan, or the

18  
19  
20  
21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 administration of this Plan or the property to be distributed under this Plan” while  
2 holding confirmation of plan in abeyance on other grounds).<sup>8</sup>

3 Further, as explained, the Exculpation Clause does not release the Exculpated  
4 Parties from willful misconduct or gross negligence. In particular, the Exculpation  
5 Clause clarifies that

6 the foregoing “Exculpation” shall have no effect on the liability of any  
7 Entity for liability solely to the extent resulting from any such act or  
8 omission taken after the Effective Date or of any Entity solely to the  
9 extent resulting from any act or omission that is determined in a final  
10 order to have constituted gross negligence or willful misconduct.

11 Plan, Section VII.E. The Trustee contends that the inclusion of the word “solely”  
12 somehow would bar actions against a party for gross negligence or willful  
13 misconduct or for acts which occurred after the Effective Date. Trustee Objection,  
14 p. 8. This language is included in the Exculpation Clause to clarify that actions  
15 against a party for gross negligence or willful misconduct or for acts which occurred  
16 after the Effective Date are *not* exculpated.

17 <sup>8</sup> For the same reasons, the limitations of liability set forth in Section VII.I of the  
18 Plan, paragraphs 3.2 and 4.1 of the GUC Distribution Trust Agreement, and  
19 paragraphs 6.7(j), 7.1(c), and 7.4 of the Liquidation Trust Agreement, also referred  
20 to in the Trustee’s objection, are reasonable and appropriate under the circumstances  
21 of these Chapter 11 Cases.

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 In short, the Plan’s Exculpation Clause is appropriate under applicable Ninth  
2 Circuit precedent and the Plan Proponents request that the Court overrule this  
3 Objection to the Plan.

4 **3. *The Trustee’s Objection Regarding the Notice in the GUC***  
5 ***Distribution Trust Has Been Resolved.***

6 The Trustee also objects to a provision in the GUC Distribution Trust which  
7 provides that in the event the GUC Distribution Trustee has a conflict on any discrete  
8 matter, a “conflicts trustee” may be selected to handle the discrete matter with only  
9 notice to the U.S. Trustee. Trustee Objection, p. 11; GUC Distribution Trust, ¶ 3.3.  
10 To resolve the Trustee’s objection that this notice is too limited, the GUC Distribution  
11 Trust will be amended to provide that the notice of selection of a “conflicts trustee”  
12 will be filed with the Court on the docket, in addition to being served on Trustee.  
13 The Trustee has agreed that this revision resolves its objection on this point.

14 **D. The United States’ Objection Should Be Overruled.**

15 The United States of America (the “United States”), on behalf of the United  
16 States Small Business Administration (“SBA”) and the Department of Health and  
17 Human Services (“HHS”), acting through its designated component, the Centers for  
18 Medicare & Medicaid Services (“CMS”), filed the *Objection to Confirmation of*  
19 *Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Astria Health and its*  
20 *Debtor Affiliates* [Docket No. 2077] (the “United States Objection”). The United  
21 States Objection asserts (i) the Plan is not feasible and does not satisfy

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 § 1129(a)(9)(A) because it does not provide for payment of the SBA’s or the Lender’s  
2 alleged administrative claims or set aside additional reserves to pay the same; (ii) the  
3 Plan is not feasible because it does not provide for the assumption and cure of existing  
4 Medicare Provider Agreements; and (iii) to the extent the provisions in Section VII  
5 are intended to interfere with the United States’ rights, those provisions contravene  
6 the Bankruptcy Code and applicable law.

7 ***1. The Lender and the SBA Do Not Hold Allowed Administrative Claims  
and the Debtors Are Not Required to Reserve for the Same.***

8 Neither the SBA nor Banner Bank (the “Lender”) hold an *allowed*  
9 administrative claim on account of the PPP Loans (as defined in the United States  
10 Objection). The Debtors obtained PPP Loans postpetition from Lender. While the  
11 PPP Loans are designated as “loans,” they are guaranteed by the SBA and shall be  
12 forgiven if used by the Debtors for their proper purposes. *See* Section 1102 of the  
13 Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (the “CARES Act”), Public  
14 Law 116-136. Both the Lender and the SBA’s claims are contingent on the PPP Loan  
15 eventually coming due for the Debtors’ failure to use the funds for their proper  
16 purposes. As such, the Lender and the SBA do not hold an *allowed* administrative  
17 expense claim based on the PPP Loan, as any such claim has yet to accrue. *See In re*  
18 *Lisanti Foods, Inc.*, 329 B.R. 491, 502-03 (D. N.J. 2005) (affirming that bankruptcy  
19 court did not err in finding plan complied with § 1129(a)(9)(A) given that objecting  
20 creditor did not yet hold an “allowed” claim as defined by the plan and therefore did  
21

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 “not yet have any entitlement to payment of their administrative claims unless and  
2 until the Bankruptcy Court so orders”). The Plan does not need to provide for  
3 payments of these alleged administrative claims on the Effective Date. *See* 11 U.S.C.  
4 § 1129(a)(9) (providing for payment of “the allowed amount” of administrative  
5 claims on the effective date).

6 Moreover, although the Plan includes an Administrative and Priority Claims  
7 Reserve, which covers Disputed Administrative Claims, the Plan Proponents do not  
8 need to include any amounts in this reserve for payments of the PPP Loans. No  
9 amounts are currently due and owing under the PPP Loans (*see* Docket No. 2071,  
10 Exhibit A “Promissory Note,” at 1, ¶ B (“Monthly payments . . . shall be due on the  
11 fifth day of each month, beginning on the first month that is not less than ten full  
12 months from the date of the Note [*i.e.*, June 23, 2020], and continuing monthly  
13 thereafter . . . or the date on which the Loan is paid in full (giving credit for Loan  
14 forgiveness to the extent approved by SBA and such forgiveness amount is paid by  
15 SBA to Lender.”); Docket No. 2074, Exhibit A “Promissory Note,” at 1, ¶ B (same,  
16 except that Promissory Note is dated June 28, 2020); *see also* § 1102 of the CARES  
17 Act) and, if the PPP Loans are later not forgiven and become due after the Effective  
18 Date, the Reorganized Debtors will make payments to the Lender on the PPP Loans  
19 over time in the ordinary course of business.

20  
21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 The Plan Proponents, nevertheless, will agree to the inclusion of the following  
2 provisions in the Confirmation Order, as proposed by the United States, to preserve  
3 the status quo and the United States' rights on appeal of issues concerning the PPP  
4 Loans:

- 5 • Nothing in this Order shall be construed as (i) determining, construing,  
6 or limiting any right, obligation, or term of the PPP Loans, loan  
7 documents, or law governing the PPP loans, including whether all or  
8 any part of the PPP Loans are subject to forgiveness; (ii) determining  
9 this Court's authority to make a determination about whether all or any  
10 part of the PPP Loans is subject to forgiveness under the loan documents  
11 and law governing the PPP Loans.
- 12 • Notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary in the Plan, this Order  
13 confirming the Plan, and any implementing Plan documents, nothing  
14 shall affect the United States' appeal of the Order Granting Preliminary  
15 Injunction in the SBA Adversary Proceeding, and the District Court  
16 proceedings related thereto.

17 **2. *The Debtors Are Assuming Medicare Provider Agreements.***

18 The United States contends that the Plan is not feasible because the Debtors  
19 have allegedly not identified any Medicare Provider Agreements on its Schedule of  
20 Assumed Agreements and "[w]ithout Medicare reimbursement, the Debtors'  
21 operations may be negatively impacted." United States Objection, p. 7. Since the  
United States Objection was filed, the Debtors filed an Amended Schedule of  
Assumed Agreements. [Docket No. 2082]. This Amended Schedule of Assumed  
Agreements lists the Medicare Provider Agreements which the Debtors intend to  
assume and cure any amounts owed thereunder in order to continue operating their

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 remaining two Hospitals. Accordingly, the United States' objection on this point is  
2 moot.

3 The United States also raises concerns that its alleged setoff and recoupment  
4 rights are not preserved under the Plan. See United States Objection, p. 8. To remedy  
5 this concern as well as to ensure that the Plan provides for cure and adequate  
6 assurance of future performance under the Medicare Provider Agreements, the Plan  
7 Proponents will agree to include the following provisions in the Confirmation Order:

- 8 • Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Debtors' Plan, any of its  
9 exhibits, the Plan Supplement, or this Confirmation Order, CMS' right of  
10 recoupment, if any, and CMS' administration of the Debtors' Medicare  
11 Provider Agreements and federal Medicare laws and regulations, are  
12 unaffected by the confirmation of the Plan.
- For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Confirmation Order shall be  
construed to affect the rights of the United States under the Medicare  
Provider Agreements to assert setoff and recoupment, if any.

13 **3. *The Provisions in Section VII of the Plan Do Not Interfere With the***  
14 ***United States' Rights.***

14 The United States objects to the language in Section VII of the Plan, including  
15 the permanent injunction in Section VII.A, the settlement of claims in Section VII.B,  
16 and the injunction and setoff provisions in Sections VII.G and VII.J, “[t]o the extent  
17 such language is intended to interfere with United States' rights, including in the  
18 ongoing litigation of pending appeals and the assumption of the Provider Agreements  
19 . . . .” See United States Objection, pp. 11-13. These provisions in Section VII of  
20 the Plan are not intended to unilaterally settle any claims or interests of the United

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 States or otherwise limit or interfere with the United States’ rights under the Provider  
2 Agreements or in the ongoing litigation concerning the PPP Loans. As discussed,  
3 the Plan Proponents have agreed to include certain provisions in the Confirmation  
4 Order to alleviate the United States’ concerns on these points.

5 Further, for the reasons discussed herein at length, the Plan’s release and  
6 exculpation provisions (*see* Plan, §§ VII.E and VII.F) are proper under applicable  
7 Ninth Circuit precedent approving similar plan provisions and should be approved in  
8 this case.

9 **E. The HCA Objection Has Been Resolved and Is Now Moot.**

10 The State of Washington Health Care Authority (the “HCA”) filed the *State of*  
11 *Washington Health Care Authority’s Objection to Confirmation* [Docket No. 2079]  
12 (the “HCA Objection”). The basis of the HCA Objection is primarily that the Debtors  
13 have allegedly not identified any Medicaid Core Provider Agreements on its  
14 Schedule of Assumed Agreements and “Medicaid Core Provider Agreements are  
15 necessary if the hospitals intend to continue participating in the Medicaid fee-for-  
16 service and other Medicaid reimbursement programs.” HCA Objection, p. 2. Since  
17 the HCA Objection was filed, the Debtors filed an Amended Schedule of Assumed  
18 Agreements [Docket No. 2082]. This Amended Schedule of Assumed Agreements  
19 lists the Medicaid Core Provider Agreements which the Debtors intend to assume in  
20 order to continue operating their remaining two Hospitals.

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 The HCA Objection also asserts that the process of asserting Administrative  
2 Expense Claims under the Plan conflicts with the instructions for asserting a claim  
3 as set forth in the *Order (I) Fixing the First Interim Bar Date for Filing Certain*  
4 *Postpetition Administrative Expense Claims and (II) Approving the Form of Notice*  
5 *of the Administrative Expense Claims Bar Date* [Docket No. 1416] (the “Interim  
6 Administrative Claims Bar Date Order”). HCA Objection, pp. 3-6. There is no  
7 substantive difference between the Plan and the Interim Administrative Claims Bar  
8 Date Order. Given that the Debtors’ election to assume the Medicaid Core Provider  
9 Agreements and cure any outstanding amounts owed under those agreements,  
10 including any post-petition amounts which would qualify as Administrative  
11 Expenses, HCA’s objection is moot.

## 12 VIII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

13 The Debtors and the Lapis Parties reserve the right to further amend and  
14 modify the Plan and to submit additional documents, declarations, exhibits and other  
15 supporting documents and evidence in connection with confirmation of the Plan, or  
16 any Amended Plan, or otherwise. While the Objections to confirmation of the Plan  
17 are limited to those timely raised in the written Objections filed by the objection  
18 deadline, to the extent any additional or modified objections are raised in connection  
19 with the confirmation hearing, the Plan Proponents reserve the right to respond to the  
20 same and/or to argue they are untimely. Nothing contained herein shall constitute a

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 limitation or waiver of rights with respect to any objection filed after the confirmation  
2 objection deadline pursuant to a stipulation extending such deadline.

3 **IX. CONCLUSION**

4 WHEREFORE, the Plan Proponents respectfully request that the Bankruptcy  
5 Court enter an order substantially in the form of the Confirmation Order, which will  
6 be filed prior to the Confirmation Hearing, (i) confirming the Plan, (ii) overruling the  
7 Objections, and (iii) granting such other and further relief as the Bankruptcy Court  
8 deems just and proper.

9 Dated: December 11, 2020

DENTONS US LLP

10 By: /s/ Samuel R. Maizel

11 Samuel R. Maizel  
12 Sam J. Alberts  
13 Geoffrey M. Miller

Counsel to the *Debtors and Debtors In Possession*

14 Dated: December 11, 2020

MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,  
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.

15 By: /s/ William Kannel

16 William Kannel  
17 Ian A. Hammel

Counsel to the *Lapis Parties*

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 **DECLARATION OF MICHAEL LANE**

2 I, Michael Lane, declare that if called on as a witness, I would and could testify  
3 of my own personal knowledge as follows:

4 1. I am the Chief Restructuring Officer (“CRO”) of Astria Health  
5 (“Astria”) and independently employed.

6 2. The statements herein are based upon my personal knowledge of the  
7 facts and information gathered by me in my capacity as CRO for Astria.

8 3. The Debtors’ finances and operations have always been and remain  
9 significantly interconnected. Funds have routinely flowed on an intercompany basis  
10 from stronger performing Debtors to support the weaker performing Debtors. While  
11 vendor liabilities are reported specific to the individual hospitals, there was  
12 significantly higher liabilities at the ARMC<sup>9</sup> facility as compared to the Sunnyside  
13 and Toppenish hospitals. Many of the vendors provided goods and services to all  
14 hospitals and often linked shipments based upon aging of the accounts at all the  
15 hospitals. Sunnyside hospital not only borrowed funds in January 2019 for vendor  
16 management but also provided significant funding from cash reserves to allow

17  
18 \_\_\_\_\_  
19 <sup>9</sup> Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning afforded in  
20 the *Memorandum of Law in Support of Confirmation of Second Amended Joint*  
21 *Chapter 11 Plan and Response to Objections.*

1 ARMC, and to a lesser extent Toppenish, to purchase goods and services. It would  
2 be difficult if not impossible to reconcile and allocate cash funding for acquisition  
3 purposes, operations or vendor management.

4 4. The Plan is the product of months of extensive arm's-length independent  
5 and interrelated negotiations and compromises among the Debtors and its major  
6 constituents, namely the Committee, and the Lapis Parties. These negotiations were  
7 difficult and addressed complex legal and factual issues. I believe that these  
8 negotiations facilitated the best possible recovery for all creditors under the totality  
9 of the circumstances.

10 5. The Plan is built around the settlement of all rights, claims and interests  
11 associated with the Lapis Parties' DIP Claims, Senior Secured Bond Debt Claims  
12 and Senior Secured Credit Agreement Claims (the "Senior Debt 9019 Settlement").  
13 The Senior Debt 9019 Settlement is comprised of (i) the classification and treatment  
14 of the DIP Claims, Senior Secured Bond Debt Claims and Senior Secured Credit  
15 Agreement Claims and other Lapis Parties prepetition Claims as specified in the Plan,  
16 (ii) the issuance (or reinstatement, as applicable) of Exchange Debt, and (iii) the  
17 release and exculpation terms for the Lapis Parties as specified in the Plan. I believe  
18 that approval of the Senior Debt 9019 Settlement is in the best interests of the Estates.

19 6. The Plan also embodies the Committee Plan Settlement set forth in the  
20 Term Sheet between the Debtors and the Committee, which reflects a compromise

21 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 and settlement of numerous complex issues including, but not limited to, those set  
2 forth in the *Limited Objection of Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors to*  
3 *Motion for an Order Approving: (i) Proposed Disclosure Statement; (ii) Solicitation*  
4 *and Voting Procedures; (iii) Notice and Objection Procedure for Confirmation of*  
5 *Joint Plan of Reorganization; and (iv) Granting Related Relief* [Docket No. 1624].

6 The Debtors and the Committee engaged in extensive negotiations regarding these  
7 issues culminating in a settlement resolving the Committee's objections as set forth  
8 in the Term Sheet between the parties, the terms of which have been incorporated  
9 into the Plan. As amended in light of the settlement, the Plan provides, among other  
10 things, contributions totaling not less than \$7.3 million by the Debtors and/or  
11 Reorganized Debtors to the GUC Distribution Trust for distribution to the Holders of  
12 Allowed General Unsecured Claims consistent with the Plan's terms, and the  
13 potential for additional funds dependent upon the ultimate resolution of certain  
14 causes of action belonging to the Debtors and their estates and Avoidance Actions to  
15 be transferred to the GUC Distribution Trust on the Effective Date. I believe that  
16 approval of the Committee Plan Settlement is in the best interests of the Estates.

17 7. Also, on the Effective Date, all Liquidation Trust Assets shall be  
18 contributed to the Liquidation Trust Agreement. The Plan also provides that the  
19 Reorganized Debtors, controlled by AH System as the sole member, will provide the  
20 management for the Hospitals after the Effective Date. In the event any Liquidation

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 Trust Assets are liquidated, the proceeds of such liquidation shall be used generally  
2 to fund AH System's operating cash account up to an amount equal to the lesser of  
3 \$10 million or thirty (30) days cash on hand and then to pay the Exchange Debt in  
4 accordance with the Exchange Debt Documents.

5 8. The Plan deems the Debtors consolidated for the purposes of Claim  
6 allowance and distribution, which treats the Debtors' assets and liabilities as if they  
7 were pooled without actually merging the Debtor entities.

8 9. The Plan describes the specific treatment of all Claims and the  
9 distribution of proceeds to Holders of Allowed Claims. As set forth in Section II of  
10 the Plan, except for Administrative Claims, Priority Tax Claims, Professional Fee  
11 Claims, and DIP Claims, which are not required to be classified, all Claims are  
12 divided into Classes under the Plan, as follows:

- 13 • The Plan classifies the Priority Claims (Class 1) as unimpaired and deemed to  
14 have accepted the Plan (and thus not entitled to vote on the Plan). Class 1  
Claims are anticipated to recover 100% of their Allowed Claims.
- 15 • The Plan classifies the following Claims as impaired and entitled to vote on the  
16 Plan: Classes 2A (Senior Secured Bond Debt Claims), 2B (Senior Secured  
17 Credit Agreement Claims), 2C (Other Secured Claims), 3 (Convenience Class  
Claims), 4 (General Unsecured Claims), and 4A (Insured Claims).
- 18 • Under the Plan, (i) Senior Secured Bond Debt Claims (Class 2A) are reinstated  
19 on the terms of the Exchange Debt Documents, (ii) Senior Secured Credit  
20 Agreement Claims (Class 2B) are exchanged for Senior Secured Credit  
21 Agreement Exchange Debt and (iii) Other Secured Claims (Class 2C) will be  
paid (a) Cash in full, (b) a reinstated note on the same payment and collateral  
terms as its prior Claim, (c) a return of collateral securing the Claim, or (d) such  
less favorable treatment to which the Holder otherwise agrees.

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

- Convenience Class Claims (Class 3) will be paid 20% of the allowed amount of the Claim up to \$1,000.
- Holders of Allowed General Unsecured Claims (Class 4) shall receive, on one or more GUC Distribution Dates, a *Pro Rata* share of the Net GUC Distribution Trust Assets and Insured Claims (Class 4A) shall recover only from the available insurance and Debtors shall be discharged to the extent of any such excess.
- Intercompany Claims (Class 5) are eliminated under the Plan.

10. The Plan also contemplates the establishment of the Administrative and Priority Claims Reserve which reserves for the full face amount of the majority of asserted Administrative Claims that will not be Allowed on the Effective Date. Many of these fully reserved Administrative Claims represent claims the Debtors already pay in the ordinary course of business. The proposed Administrative and Priority Claims Reserve further reserves for the remaining handful of Disputed Administrative Claims not Allowed on the Petition Date—just not for the full face amount of the asserted Disputed Administrative Claim. Consequently, I believe that the Administrative and Priority Claim Reserve is sufficient, under the circumstances. Further, even though the Plan is not required to provide a mechanism for addressing the claims of claimants who may subsequently recover judgments against the Debtors, I believe that the Debtors have provided more than sufficient reserves to address any such claims.

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1           11. The Plan also provides that all Allowed Priority Claims under § 507(a),  
2 unless otherwise agreed, shall receive payment in Cash in an amount equal to the  
3 amount of such Allowed Claim, payable on the Effective Date (or as soon as  
4 practicable thereafter) equal to the allowed amount of such Claim, unless the Class  
5 votes to accept deferred Cash payments of a value, as of the Effective Date, equal to  
6 the allowed amount of such Claims.

7           12. The Plan also provides for the rejection of all executory contracts and  
8 unexpired leases (“Executory Agreements”) that exist between the Debtors and any  
9 other person or entity prior to the Petition Date on the Effective Date except for  
10 Executory Agreements that “(i) have been assumed by order of the Court, (ii) are  
11 subject to a motion to assume pending on the Effective Date, or (iii) have been  
12 identified on a list of assumed contracts to be filed with the Court prior to the Voting  
13 Deadline, which shall be a date prior to the Effective Date of the Plan.”

14           13. The Debtors reviewed and analyzed their Executory Agreements. In  
15 their business judgment, the Debtors have concluded that certain of their Executory  
16 Agreements listed in the Schedule of Assumed Agreements should be assumed on  
17 the Effective Date because such agreements are beneficial to the Reorganized  
18 Debtors. Likewise, I believe that it is in the Debtors’ best interests to reject all other  
19 Executory Agreements under the Plan as they are no longer providing a benefit to the  
20 Estates.

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1           14. I am not aware of any colorable Estate claims or causes of action that  
2 may exist against any of the Released Parties or Exculpated Parties. The Plan reflects  
3 the settlement and resolution of numerous complex issues, and the Debtor Releases,  
4 Third Party Releases and Exculpations are an integral part of the consideration to be  
5 provided in exchange for the compromises and resolutions embodied in the Plan.

6           15. I believe that the Debtor Releases, Third Party Releases and  
7 Exculpations are in the best interests of the Debtors' creditors. In the absence of any  
8 viable claims against any of the Released Parties or Exculpated Parties, pursuing  
9 claims against the Released Parties or Exculpated Parties would be a costly and futile  
10 exercise that would only distract the Reorganized Debtors' management of the  
11 business. The Debtor Releases, Third Party Releases and Exculpations will eliminate  
12 the potential for post-effective date litigation against Board Trustees that could  
13 directly and indirectly threaten the Reorganized Debtors' ability to function  
14 effectively by virtue of indemnification agreements and the cost and distraction of  
15 potential third-party discovery. Also, with respect to the Lapis Parties and the  
16 Committee, the Debtor Releases, Third Party Releases and Exculpations were central  
17 components of the Senior Debt 9019 Settlement and Committee Plan Settlement.

18           16. Each of the Released Parties and Exculpated Parties afforded significant  
19 value to the Debtors, played an integral role in the formulation of the Plan, and  
20 expended significant time and resources analyzing and negotiating the issues

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 involved therein and leading the Debtors through a complex chapter 11 process. For  
2 instance, the Released Parties and Exculpated Parties have made significant  
3 contributions to the success of these Chapter 11 Cases, including, in certain instances,  
4 compromising their claims to reach settlements that furthered the resolution of these  
5 Chapter 11 Cases, financing the Debtors' operations during these Chapter 11 Cases,  
6 and otherwise supporting the Debtors' intensive efforts and negotiations to build  
7 near-universal consensus behind the Plan—a result which benefits all parties in  
8 interest and preserves the value-maximizing recoveries set forth in the Plan. With  
9 respect to the Lapis Parties, the Lapis Parties agreed to have their claims reinstated  
10 or extended as set forth in the Plan. The DIP Claims, in particular, would have under  
11 other circumstances been paid in full in cash on the Effective Date. The Lapis Parties  
12 also consented to the Committee Plan Settlement; absent the Committee Plan  
13 Settlement the Lapis Parties would have asserted that most or all of the consideration  
14 the settlement made available to holders of Allowed Unsecured Claims under this  
15 Plan would instead be distributed to the Lapis Parties. Also, the Board of Trustees,  
16 who serve without compensation, met frequently prior to the Petition Date and even  
17 more so during these Chapter 11 Cases to consider the Debtors' options during this  
18 period of financial distress and evaluate an outcome that would maximize value to  
19 all stakeholders. Among other things, the Board of Trustees evaluated provided  
20 intensive and thoughtful consideration in ultimately approving the decision to file a

21  
**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

1 chapter 11 bankruptcy petition, to obtain post-petition financing, to close and later  
2 sell ARMC and the related medical office building and to file the Plan.

3 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of  
4 America that the foregoing is true and correct.

5  
6 Dated: December 11, 2020

ASTRIA HEALTH

7  
8 By:   
Michael Lane  
Chief Restructuring Officer

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF CONFIRMATION**

DENTONS US LLP  
601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704  
Phone: (213) 623-9300  
Fax: (213) 623-9924

BUSH KORNFIELD LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
601 Union St., Suite 5000  
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373  
Telephone (206) 292-2110  
Facsimile (206) 292-2104